```
1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
                          No. 1:CV-18-03495-JCH
 5
    VS.
 6
    DOUGLAS D. SMITH
 7
 8
 9
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10
                     TRIAL ON THE MERITS
                         June 14, 2021
11
12
                           Volume 1
13
                         Pages 1 - 168
14
15
    BEFORE:
               HONORABLE JUDGE JUDITH HERRERA
               UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
          Proceedings reported by stenotype.
20
          Transcript produced by computer-aided
21
    transcription.
2.2
23
24
25
```

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 3
               OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY
               P.O. Box 607
 4
               Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
               505-346-7274
               BY: NOVALENE D. WILSON
 5
               novalene.wilson@usdoj.gov
 6
                    KYLE T. NAYBACK
               kyle.nayback@usdoj.gov
 7
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
 8
               OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
 9
               111 Lomas, Northwest, Suite 501
               Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
               505-346-2489
10
                    ARIC ELSENHEIMER
               BY:
               aric elsenheimer@fd.org
11
                    AMANDA LAVIN
12
               amand lavin@fd.org
13
14
                           INDEX
15
                                                   PAGE:
16
                                                   184
    Certificate of Reporter
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

```
THE COURT: Please be seated. All right.
 1
    We are on the record in USA versus Smith. Case
 2
    Number is CR-18-3495. We are here today to begin
 3
 4
    our jury trial. Let me ask if everyone is ready to
 5
    proceed.
 6
              MS. WILSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
 7
    Novalene Wilson on behalf of the United States along
 8
    with at counsel table Mr. Nayback and FBI Special
 9
    Agent Travis Taylor.
10
              We are ready to proceed.
11
              THE COURT: All right.
12
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Good morning, Your
13
            Aric Elsenheimer on behalf of Mr. Douglas
    Honor.
14
            I am joined by my colleague Amanda Lavin and
15
    Dan Berg from the Federal Public Defender's Office,
16
    and we are ready to proceed.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Good morning to
18
    all of you.
19
              Before we bring in the jury panel, I know
20
    there was a pending Lafler-Frye issue that the Court
21
    needs to take up. So what I am going to do,
2.2
    Mr. Smith, is -- the purpose of what I am about to
23
    do is make sure that your attorney discussed with
24
    you any settlement offers that the Government
25
    extended and make sure you had a chance to discuss
```

```
So because I need to ask you a few questions,
 1
    that.
 2
    I am going to ask that you be placed under oath
 3
    simply to answer these questions.
 4
               (Whereupon, the defendant was sworn.)
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. Smith, you are the
 6
    defendant in this case, correct?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. So I have been
 9
    told that the Government made a plea offer to you
10
    that you rejected.
11
              And so, first of all, let me explain to
12
    you that I need to ask you a couple of questions
13
    about that, but I do not want to know any of the
    specifics of any discussion between you and your
14
15
              I don't want to know anything about your
    conversations with counsel. I don't want to know
16
17
    anything about any plea offer. I don't want to know
18
    the details of any plea offer. I don't want to know
19
    the terms of any offer that was made by the
20
                 I don't want to know what the reasons
    Government.
21
    are that you didn't accept the plea offer. I don't
2.2
    want to get into any of those details.
23
              And I also want to tell you that the Court
24
    does not get involved in any of the details of plea
25
    negotiations. So the Court has no knowledge of
```

```
that, the Court has no opinion as to whether or
 1
 2
    not -- as to your decision, the Court has no opinion
 3
    about your decision to proceed to trial.
 4
               So having said all of that, I want you to
 5
    understand that really I just want to know a simple
 6
    yes or no answer to my questions.
 7
               First of all, did you discuss with your
 8
    attorney the plea offer that was made by the
 9
    Government?
10
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
11
               THE COURT: All right. And, second, did
12
    you have a full and complete opportunity to discuss
13
    the plea offer with your counsel?
14
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
15
               THE COURT: And are you satisfied that
16
    before you rejected the plea offer that you
17
    completely understood the terms of the plea offer?
18
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
19
               THE COURT: All right. So the Court is
20
    satisfied, then, that the defendant understands the
21
    plea that was offered and he has rejected it.
2.2
               So having gone through that, let me just
23
    tell you, I have no opinions about whether, about
24
    the terms of your plea offer, the rejection, but I
25
    am always willing for you-all to continue to
```

```
converse with each other if you feel that that would
 1
    be fruitful.
 2
 3
              So just because we start trial doesn't
 4
    mean that the Court is closed to the idea of some
 5
    resolution. And if it doesn't happen, it doesn't
 6
    happen, but I do like people to keep an open mind of
 7
    communication.
 8
              All right. So with that, is everybody
 9
    ready for the jury panel to come into the courtroom?
10
              MR. NAYBACK:
                             Yes.
                                   Just a couple of
    questions, Your Honor. Mr. Elsenheimer and I had
11
12
    some pending motions on voir dire itself.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Let me just tell
14
    you that what I am going to do is I am going to ask
15
    a number of questions. And I don't think I have had
16
    you in a jury trial before. Ms. Wilson, have I had
17
    you in a jury trial?
18
              MS. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT:
                           So let me just explain to you
20
    that I do cover a number of topics.
                                          What I have
21
    found in the past is that when I turn it over to the
2.2
    attorneys, usually the attorneys don't have lengthy
23
    questions. I mean, because we are doing this in
24
    phases, I am hoping that people can move along
25
    fairly quickly. When I say people, I mean the panel
```

```
because there are only 22 or whatever the number is.
 1
 2
    So I am, I will give attorneys, you know, 15,
 3
    20 minutes. Usually they conclude their questions
 4
    before the 15 minutes, but I will have an
 5
    opportunity to talk with you privately, very briefly
 6
    about the areas that you want to get into.
 7
              Quite frankly, there may be things that I
 8
    cover that you may have objected to. Now, I am not
 9
    going to use the terms self-defense, but I am going
10
    to talk about what people's, if they have ever
11
    experienced a trespasser on their property and I am
12
    going to ask questions based on the facts that have
13
    been presented. I am not going to present them as
14
    though they are proven facts, I am just going to say
15
    there may be evidence, there may not be evidence.
16
    So I am going to do my best to explain to the jury
17
    panel that it is important that we understand their
18
    views on various issues.
19
               I am going to ask them if any of them own
20
    guns, for example. So I do not intend to play
21
    shrink on any of these issues. I am not going to
2.2
    assess what people think about this or that. I am
23
    going to ask questions, I am going to get the
24
    information.
```

I am not crazy about the idea of counsel

```
playing shrink and trying to analyze people's -- I
 1
 2
    think it is important that you know the facts. If
 3
    they answer, yeah, I have a gun and, yeah, I have
 4
    had to defend myself or, no, I don't have a gun and
 5
    I hate guns. I mean, it is important for you-all to
 6
    know that, but I don't know that we need to analyze
 7
    the root cause of these opinions.
 8
              And maybe it is because I am not a
 9
    psychologist, so I tend to just focus on whatever
    the facts are.
10
              So having said that, we will have a brief
11
12
    conversation after I conclude my portion of the voir
13
    dire, and to the extent you have issues that you
14
    want to bring to the Court's attention about your
15
    own voir dire, fine.
16
                             There was just one.
              MR. NAYBACK:
                                                  Thank
17
    you, that is super helpful. I don't think we are
18
    going to have questions.
19
               I think Mr. Elsenheimer argued that they
20
    ought to be able to get into some other stuff based
21
    on my objections, so we have this, we worked really
2.2
    hard on this recording. It is only about 30 minutes
    long. It is Mr. Smith's statement to
23
24
    Detective Abeyta. If we had to change it, if the
25
    Court made us change it in any way, Mr. Elsenheimer
```

```
That would be really cumbersome and maybe
 1
    has it.
 2
    impossible to do under 12 hours in time.
 3
              So that is the only reason I brought up
 4
    our voir dire objections this morning was I thought
 5
    Mr. Elsenheimer was arguing that maybe the jury
 6
    should hear more of Mr. Smith's self-serving
 7
    statements. That is the only reason I raised it.
 8
              THE COURT: We don't need to deal with
 9
    that during voir dire.
10
                            No, we don't. You're right.
              MS. WILSON:
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We can deal with that
11
12
    after voir dire. The only other question I had,
13
    Your Honor, with regard to -- just because this is
14
    my first COVID trial, my understanding was that we
15
    do the cause challenges after each of these
16
    22-person panel --
17
              THE COURT: I am glad you mentioned that.
18
    Go ahead, I'm sorry.
19
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: And I just wanted to
20
    find out, that was my understanding when we spoke
21
    with your courtroom deputy last week. That was my
2.2
    understanding and there was an e-mail about do we
23
    also have peremptories and I just wanted to find out
24
    what the Court is planning to do.
25
              THE COURT:
                           Well, what I was trying to do
```

```
was challenges for cause after each session.
 1
 2
    hoping that maybe we could have a jury seated after
 3
    the second wave, and so I was hoping, well, not
 4
    hoping, so that is why I asked Yvonne to ask you-all
 5
    whether you wanted to exercise peremptories after
 6
                I am not telling you that I have a
 7
    preference to do that.
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                My preference would be
 9
    to exercise peremptories after all three or two,
10
    after all two has been brought in. We think there
11
    is enough after the second, but not to exercise
12
    peremptories after each of the 22-person panel.
13
              THE COURT:
                           That is fine.
14
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Thank you.
                                            This is
15
    COVID related. What would the Court like us to do
16
    with regard to masks?
17
              THE COURT: So my preference is if you are
18
    vaccinated you don't have to wear a mask.
19
    are not vaccinated, you probably want to wear a
20
    mask, but I will leave it up to all of you.
21
              I don't want to wear a mask if I don't
2.2
              I have had, you name it, I have had it.
    have to.
23
    have had vaccines, I have had COVID. I have had it
24
    all, so I feel like I don't need to wear a mask, you
25
    know.
```

```
MR. ELSENHEIMER: Our table is all
 1
 2
    vaccinated. I am sure probably everybody in this
 3
    room is vaccinated.
 4
              THE COURT: I am fine with that, then.
 5
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I just don't want the
 6
    jury to think we are being cavalier.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          I am going to tell them.
 8
    understand that Judge Vazquez's trial a couple of
 9
    weeks ago, she went into the third phrase of jury
10
    selection and basically got one alternate out of it.
11
    I am hoping that we don't have to go through a third
12
    wave and all the time that that would consume unless
13
    we really need to do it.
14
              What is our magic number? We need a jury
15
    of -- how many alternates do you want?
16
              MR. NAYBACK: Fourteen, 13.
17
              THE COURT: So we need 30. When it looks
18
    like we have 30, I mean, you-all, you tell me and I
19
    will be happy not to go to a third wave if it looks
20
    like we are there.
21
              I am going to step out while the panel
2.2
    comes in. Let me look at one thing here.
23
               (Whereupon, the jury panel enters the
24
    courtroom.)
25
              THE COURT: Good morning to everyone.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
If you have any trouble hearing me, just
let me know. I want to welcome you to the
courthouse today. We are here in the case of
United States of America versus Douglas Smith.
                                                The
Case Number is CR-18-3495.
          So first of all I will welcome all of you
to the courthouse. My name is Judith Herrera.
will be presiding over this case. It should take
this week, I believe, for us to select a jury, try
the case and hopefully have deliberations, so one
week.
          Let me just tell you a little bit about
this jury trial process. First of all, as you all
know, the Constitution guarantees a right to a jury
trial in matters of law, and our justice system
depends on the willingness of people like you-all to
serve as jurors, so all of us appreciate that.
          Oftentimes people do not have the
opportunity to serve in the Executive Branch of
```

Oftentimes people do not have the opportunity to serve in the Executive Branch of Government or the Legislative Branch of Government, so here when you serve on a jury, you are actually serving as a judge, judges of the facts in the judicial system. So you are a part of the judiciary while you are serving on this jury.

So I am, as you know, I am the Judge on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
this case and a lot of Judges think of this as much
like a traffic officer who keeps the roads moving
and keeps things safe, every now and then, if an
officer has to write a citation. And here in the
courtroom while I don't write citations, I rule on
legal issues, Rules of Evidence, things like that.
The idea being, keep things moving smoothly.
          So let me introduce my court staff to
you-all, and then I am going to ask you if anybody
here knows any of us, me, my court staff.
front of me here is my court reporter. His name is
Paul Baca.
           He has been a court reporter with the
Federal courts since before I was a Judge, and I
have been here 17 years, so he has been here quite
awhile.
          His job is to take down everything that is
said in the courtroom. And he if he can't hear
someone, he will be sure to let you know that he
couldn't hear you and he will ask you, he will scare
    I will jump when he says, "I couldn't hear
you." But you know, we get used to Paul.
          Also in front of me is my law clerk.
name is Virginia Loman. Virginia is a lawyer who
```

So she's

helps me research legal issues and keep on top of

the issues as they come before the Court.

been a lawyer awhile. She has worked for me for several years now and she is, I know she will be embarrassed for me to say this. She graduated at the top of her class in law school. I am happy to have her as well, obviously.

2.2

My courtroom deputy is seated at the side table here. Her name is Yvonne Romero. She is the one who handles all my scheduling, makes sure that cases get before me and get decided in a timely fashion. So she is the one who is responsible for all of us being here today because she put this case on my calendar.

And then we have a visitor who is a law student observing the proceedings today, so he will be with us for at least some of the trial. So that is the Court staff.

So I have made some introductions. Is there anybody here who knows either me or any member of my Court staff? Who do you know? First of all tell me your name.

POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Adam Baker, I am a lawyer. I know you, Judge, professionally. I have tried a case in front of you. I have known Paul Baca for probably 20-plus years in his capacity as a court reporter.

```
THE COURT: As I recall, when I was a
 1
 2
    lawyer I think we had a case together.
 3
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Against each other, we
 4
    did, but, yes.
 5
               THE COURT: If you are selected in this
 6
    case, anything about your knowledge of me,
 7
    friendship with Paul, that would affect your
 8
    decisions?
 9
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Certainly not.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11
               Is there anybody else?
12
               In the back of the courtroom.
13
               POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Perla Aranda
14
    and of course I know the Judge.
15
               THE COURT: Perla Aranda and I were in
16
    school together. She was ahead of me, I will say,
17
    but gosh, grade school, high school. Our kids went
    to the same high school, so I have known Perla for a
18
19
    very long time.
20
               So the same question to you, Ms. Aranda.
21
    I'm sorry I called you Perla, I shouldn't do that in
2.2
    the courtroom.
23
               Is there anything about your knowledge of
24
    me that you think would affect any decisions you
25
    make in this case if you are selected?
```

```
1
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 It may, yes.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you
 3
    for letting us know that.
 4
              Anybody else know any of the Court staff?
 5
              All right. Now I understand the panel was
 6
    sworn downstairs so I don't need to swear the panel.
 7
    Let me explain a little bit about this voir dire, we
 8
           I am going to be asking you a lot of
 9
    questions.
                This portion of the proceeding is called
10
                And the purpose of me asking these
    voir dire.
11
    questions is to enable the Court and the attorneys
12
    to match the jurors with the cases. And I will say
13
    that after I finish asking questions, I will give
14
    the attorneys an opportunity to ask questions, too.
15
              But it is important to try to match jurors
16
    with the cases that they may be better suited for
17
    because all of us go through life with different
18
    experiences and all of our experiences shape our
19
    opinions.
               Everybody develops likes and dislikes and
20
    we all have biases about something or another.
21
    mean, we all do, it is normal. But that just means
2.2
    that if we have certain experiences that might
23
    prevent us from being completely impartial, then
24
    maybe it is not the case for us.
25
              For example, just to totally make an
```

2.2

example up. If this were a case about, say, a bank robbery. If any of you were a bank teller during a bank robbery or if any of you were a customer in a bank during a bank robbery or if any of you had family members or close friends who experienced something like that, then it might have an impact on how you would view a bank robbery case, and it probably would not be a good case for you.

So that is what this process is about, we are trying to learn how you might view what your feelings are on certain things. So, and again, I really depend on you-all to share your views with us, all of us because it really is important in trying to put a jury in the jury box who can be fair and impartial to both sides and come to this process with a completely open mind and decide the case based solely on the facts, the evidence that is presented here in this courtroom.

So as I ask you questions, if anybody has an answer to my question, I mean, obviously it is quicker if we can hear you from your place, but the court reporter needs to take everything down, all of us need to hear your answers. So if we have any difficulty hearing you, then you will have to come to the microphone that is just in front of the bar,

```
so I would need you to come up to the microphone to
 1
 2
    answer questions.
 3
              Now, if there is an answer that you
 4
    consider to be maybe private or embarrassing or for
 5
    whatever reason you don't want to share it with the
 6
    whole, in front of the whole group, let me know
 7
    that. And what I will do is after we finish with
 8
    the group at the end of all the guestioning, I would
 9
    give you an opportunity to meet with the attorneys.
10
    So it wouldn't be just me, it would be the attorneys
11
    and it would be the court reporter taking the
12
    answers on the record so that we all know everything
13
    that you need to tell us.
14
              So that is the preliminary information.
15
    Now, let me begin by telling you that this is a
16
    criminal case. The defendant in this case, his name
17
    is Douglas Smith. And, Mr. Smith, could you stand
18
    for just a moment.
19
              Thank you, Mr. Smith. I am going to --
20
    and you may be seated now, Mr. Smith.
21
               In a moment I will ask you whether any of
2.2
    you know Mr. Smith. But first, I am just going to
23
    let you know a little bit about the case.
```

Indictment that states on or about May 5, 2018, in

The defendant has been charged by an

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
Indian Country in Rio Arriba County in the District
of New Mexico, the defendant, Douglas D. Smith, a
non-Indian unlawfully killed Jane Doe, an Indian,
with malice aforethought in violation of a Federal
statute.
          So the defendant denies committing
second-degree murder, and it will be you, the jury,
who hears the case and decides the facts to
determine whether or not the defendant is guilty or
not guilty.
          Now, the Indictment is not evidence.
Indictment is what the Government has charged.
                                                So
none of you should assume that the defendant is
guilty simply by virtue of having been indicted.
          So next, I am going to ask if any of you
have heard anything about this case. So what I can
```

have heard anything about this case. So what I can tell you is that this occurred in or near the City of Espanola at the Western Winds Motel on Riverside Drive, which is where the defendant resided. And so just based on what you heard so far, does anybody know anything about this case? I know you haven't heard any evidence, you have heard just very little, but does this ring a bell for any of you?

All right. I see no hands. Next, I would

like to introduce the parties. Well, the attorneys.

```
So we will begin with the Government. Now, the
 1
    acting United States Attorney is Fred Federici, and
 2
 3
    it is his office that brings this charge against the
 4
    defendant.
 5
              The prosecutors on this case are Assistant
 6
    United States Attorney Novalene Wilson. Could you
 7
    stand, please? And Kyle Nayback.
                                        They are the two
 8
    prosecutors. Does anybody here know either Novalene
 9
    Wilson or Kyle Nayback?
10
              And I see no hands.
11
              Now, seated with them at counsel table is
12
    Travis Taylor. I'm sorry, which agency is that?
13
              MR. NAYBACK:
                             FBI.
14
              THE COURT: With the FBI. Does anybody
15
    know Agent Travis Taylor?
16
              All right. Thank you, I see no hands.
17
              Now, I have introduced the defendant to
18
    you, Mr. Smith, and he stood a moment ago. Does
19
    anybody know Douglas Smith?
20
              And I see no hands.
21
              All right. So his attorneys are Aric
2.2
    Elsenheimer and Amanda Lavin.
23
              Does anybody know either Mr. Elsenheimer
24
    or Ms. Lavin?
25
              And also seated at counsel table is Dan
```

```
Berg, who is with their office as well.
 1
 2
               Does anybody know Mr. Berg?
 3
               And I see no hands.
               What I would like to do next is ask
 4
 5
    counsel to give us the list of potential witnesses
 6
    that may be called in your cases. And go slowly as
 7
    you go through the names on the list because what I
 8
    would like to do is see if any of the members of the
 9
    jury panel know any of the potential witnesses.
10
    will start with Ms. Wilson.
11
               MS. WILSON:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
12
    Espanola Police Department Detective Byron Abeyta.
13
               THE COURT: No hands have gone up.
14
               MS. WILSON: OMI Field Investigator Lynne
15
    Gudes, G-U-D-E-S.
16
               THE COURT:
                          I see no hands.
              MS. WILSON: Dr. Matthew Cain.
17
18
               THE COURT: No hands.
19
              MS. WILSON: FBI Photographer Tammy Peter.
20
               FBI Special Agent Bryan Acee.
21
               THE COURT: Mr. Baker?
2.2
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I represented a
23
    defendant in a criminal case in which he was the
24
    agent.
25
               THE COURT: All right.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
MS. WILSON: FBI Physical Scientist
Forensic Examiner Theodore Chavez; FBI Photographer
Nathan Schwabedissen; Geraldine Gutierrez; Derrick
De La Cruz; and Daniel Smith.
          THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer, if there are
any additional witnesses that you are aware of, if
you could let us know.
          MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
Good morning everyone.
          We may call a few witnesses. Does anybody
know Ercilia Trujillo who lives in Espanola, New
Mexico.
          Corina Titus, who lives here in
Albuquerque. Judy Wheat; Billie Wheat; and lastly
Officer Albert Rael with the Espanola Police
Department.
          Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: All right. Next I am just
going to ask some general questions. And, again, if
you have an answer to a question, stand and we will
see if we can hear you, otherwise you will have to
come to the microphone.
          Now, let me just tell you a little bit of
the basis for the questions. So I told you that the
defendant denies committing second-degree murder.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
There may be evidence presented that the
defendant was awakened at 1:00 in the morning
believing that there were trespassers or intruders
on his property and shot his gun to scare away the
intruders or trespassers. So my question to you is
do any of you, and this question is a show of hands
at this point. Do any of you own guns?
          All right. So I see just a few hands.
          And my next question is do any of you, I
know some people have hunting guns and things like
that. Do any of you own guns for protection?
          I do see several hands.
          I am going to come back to that in a
moment. Let me ask you if any of you or any member
of your family, anybody close to you, a friend, have
any of them ever been employed by a law enforcement
agency?
         All right.
                     I see several hands.
                      So what I would like to do is
          All right.
start on this side of the courtroom, my left, and
the front row, is that Alicia.
          Could you stand, please, and tell us your
name.
          POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Anita
Roberts.
```

```
THE COURT: And tell me who in your family
 1
    was in law enforcement.
 2
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I have an uncle who is
 4
    in law enforcement in New York State.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. And still in law
 6
    enforcement you said?
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
 8
              THE COURT: So if you were selected as a
 9
    juror in this case, do you think you would be
10
    influenced one way or the other pro-Law enforcement,
11
    anti-law enforcement because of your relative's work
12
    in law enforcement?
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I don't believe I
    would be influenced.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16
    see another hand on that side of the courtroom.
                                                       Ιs
17
    that Ms. Roberts.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I had a cousin
18
19
    that was a law enforcement in Iowa but he is no
20
    longer irrelevant part of any agency.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Is there anything
2.2
    about your relative's work in law enforcement that
23
    you think would affect you one way or the other pro
24
    or con law enforcement?
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 1
 2
              Now, let me ask, was everybody able to
 3
    hear these panel members without the microphone?
 4
    want all of you on the panel to be able to hear each
 5
    other, too. So it is important that you-all be able
 6
    to hear each other. If anybody has any difficulty,
 7
    let me know so we can make sure that everybody can
 8
    hear.
 9
              Let me ask, was there anybody else on that
10
    side of the courtroom to my left that raised their
11
    hand about knowing or being related to people in law
12
    enforcement?
13
              Let me go across the aisle, then, and I
14
    did see a hand or two. So across the aisle, any of
15
    you, any members of your family, close friends in
16
    law enforcement. And so the front row, is that
17
    Ms. Archuleta?
18
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Pearl
19
    Archuleta and my son-in-law started as a police
20
    officer in Espanola Department and then he retired
21
    from the Santa Fe County. And then I have a nephew
2.2
    that --
23
              THE COURT: Before you tell me about your
24
    nephew, what is his name?
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Christopher Archuleta.
```

```
1
    He just retired now.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          All right. And then you were
 3
    going to mention somebody else?
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My nephew works for the
 5
    police department in Texas, Austin, Texas.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. And his name is?
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Jay Derby.
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
              THE COURT: All right. He never worked in
 9
10
    New Mexico in law enforcement?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
12
              THE COURT: All right. So is there
13
    anything about the fact that you are related to
    people who were in law enforcement that you think
14
15
    would have an impact on you?
16
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I think it would.
17
              THE COURT: Do you think it would? I'm
18
    sorry?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I think it would.
19
20
              THE COURT: Do you think that would make
21
    you be more in favor of law enforcement or against
2.2
    law enforcement?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It could go either way.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Now, I know you
25
    haven't heard any of the evidence in this case yet,
```

```
so do you think -- so what I am asking you is, I
 1
 2
    quess, a bit of speculation, but do you think you
 3
    could put your relatives aside and listen to the
 4
    evidence in the case and make a decision based
 5
    solely on the evidence in this case and the law as
 6
    the Court instructs you at the end of the case?
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 I don't think I could.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
    Ms. Archuleta.
 9
10
              All right. And there was another hand in
11
    the first row, is that Ms. Shaw.
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
13
              THE COURT: And who do you have in law
14
    enforcement?
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I had an aunt that was a
16
    APD officer from 1985 to 1990, but it would not
17
    influence me in any way.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Behind you I think
19
    I saw a hand.
                   Is that Mr. McBrayer?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
                                              I was a
    police dispatcher from 2017 to 2014 back in
21
    California and I still have occasional
2.2
23
    communications with my prior co-workers.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Do you feel that
25
    your experience as a dispatcher would cause you to
```

```
favor one side over the other?
 1
 2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: None whatsoever, no,
 3
    ma'am.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. So if you hear a
 5
    law enforcement officer testify, you don't feel that
 6
    you would be more inclined to believe because of
    your work as a dispatcher?
 7
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Not at all.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
10
    Mr. McBrayer.
11
              Is there anybody else?
12
              So then we go to Ms. Aranda.
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I have a cousin that was
14
    with the Santa Fe Police Department. He is retired.
15
              THE COURT: And what is his name?
16
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Mark Clayton.
17
              THE COURT: I know Mark Clayton, too.
18
    was my neighbor.
19
              All right. Ms. Aranda, is there anything
20
    about your relationship with Mark Clayton that you
21
    think would cause you to favor one side over the
2.2
    other if you were selected in this case?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25
              Anybody else related to anybody in law
```

```
enforcement?
 1
 2
              All right. Have any of you ever been the
 3
    victim of a crime? I know that is a very general
 4
    statement, but anybody, or anybody in your family
 5
    been the victim of a crime? I see one hand, so that
 6
    would be Mr. Stevenson.
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, ma'am. When I was
 8
    living in Clovis, New Mexico, my house got broke
 9
    into.
10
              THE COURT:
                          Your house got broken into?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: House got broken into.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. So did that case go to
13
            Were charges filed against --
    court?
                                Well, no. I called the
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
15
             I was gone for the weekend taking my
    police.
16
    daughter back to her mother. And when I was gone my
17
    house got broke into, and so I called the police and
18
    they did an investigation and I looked and nothing
19
    was stolen. It looked to me like they got spooked.
20
    And they said they were planning on coming back, but
21
    I was there and nobody ever came back.
2.2
              THE COURT: All right. But before you sit
23
    down, let me ask you, if you were selected in this
24
    case as a juror, is there anything about your
25
    experience that you think would cause you to look
```

```
more favorably to one side over the other?
 1
 2
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                No, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Stevenson.
 4
              Is there anyone else? I do see another
 5
    hand on the same side of the courtroom, Alicia.
 6
    Tell me again how you pronounce your last name.
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Broadhurst.
 8
              THE COURT: Thank you. So you or someone
 9
    close to you has been the victim of a crime?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Yes. My parents' house
    was broken into and electronics and other valuables
11
12
    and other stuff were stolen.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Were any charges
14
    ever filed against anyone on that?
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, there were not.
16
              THE COURT: It sounds like no one was home
17
    when that happened; is that right?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: That's correct.
18
                                                  Му
19
    parents were away at the time.
20
              THE COURT: All right. So would that
21
    experience have any impact on you if you were
2.2
    selected as a juror in this case?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, it would not.
24
              THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Broadhurst.
25
              Is there anyone else on that same side of
```

```
the courtroom to my left, your right?
 1
               I don't see any other hands, so let's move
 2
 3
    across the aisle. Several hands. Let's start in
    the front row.
 4
 5
              Ms. Shaw.
 6
               POTENTIAL JUROR: My uncle and I quess it
 7
    helps to say was an Isleta tribal member was
 8
    murdered in Albuquerque in 2005, and I think it
 9
    would absolutely influence me.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
    Ms. Shaw.
11
12
              Ms. Sanchez.
13
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, my brother-in-law
14
    was shot and killed in 2011 while he was hunting.
15
    He was shot in the back, and the guy that shot him
16
    got sentenced for two years. And I think it was
17
    very unfair with that and everything would have an
18
    impact on me.
19
               THE COURT: You think it would have an
20
    impact on you?
21
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
2.2
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
23
    Ms. Sanchez.
24
               Anybody else in the front row?
25
              Moving then to, let's see, I see one other
```

hand in the back row. Ms. Thomas.

2.2

POTENTIAL JUROR: Many years ago my apartment got broken into. I was not there that evening. I returned the next day and I could tell someone had been there. A bunch of my jewelry was on my couch. My cat was unharmed. Many things that were important to me were stolen.

The person that entered my apartment came in through my back door. Had taken a knife that belonged to me and brought it into my bedroom. So I was really fortunate not to be there.

And another incident that happened, when I was a little girl my brother was driving a cab and he was shot by his customer. And he survived but he had a pretty serious injury. When he was in college his lung collapsed twice due to the injury.

I do have to say that this defendant, I mean, I think that we live in a community full of crime and many people are being victimized and they are being ripped off. And I totally feel for people that feel that somebody might be entering their home and they are doing what they need to do to protect themselves and their family. So I do have a feeling about that, thank you.

THE COURT: All right. And just to state

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
the obvious, do you, it sounds to me like you would
be impacted by your experiences if you were selected
as a juror in this case?
          POTENTIAL JUROR:
                           Yes, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Thomas.
          And Ms. Aranda you raised your hand as
well?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I experienced ten
break-ins into my home. During one of them they
were scared off and the other one, they could not
get through the door. I do not believe that that
would affect anything in this case, however, a few
years back my nephew was run over by a motorcycle.
And he was run over by an individual who was texting
and driving. She didn't stop, she didn't put on the
brakes or anything. And because she was not brought
to trial because of anything, I believe it was
because of the DA's office, but that definitely
would have a bearing on me.
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
Ms. Aranda.
          Anybody else on that side of courtroom?
          Let me turn then to the jury box. Any of
you or anyone close to you been the victim of a
crime?
```

```
I don't see any hands.
 1
 2
               Slightly different question. Have any of
 3
    you been involved in any kind of a criminal matter
 4
    in court, whether it involved you or you were a
 5
    witness or do you have any close family members who
 6
    were involved in any kind of a criminal court
 7
    proceeding?
 8
               Okay, I see one hand. And if you could
 9
    let us know, Mr. McBrayer, was that -- tell us about
10
    that.
11
               POTENTIAL JUROR: As a dispatcher and
12
    occasional PSO back in California, on occasion I
13
    would be called as a witness to various types of
14
    trials.
15
               THE COURT: And so do you think that would
16
    have any impact on you at all, your own
17
    experience --
18
               POTENTIAL JUROR: No, ma'am.
19
               THE COURT: -- as a witness in court?
20
               All right.
                           Thank you.
21
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Thank you.
2.2
               THE COURT: And, Mr. Baker, your hand was
23
    up.
24
               POTENTIAL JUROR: You know, I started my
25
    career as an Assistant Public Defender in
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
Albuquerque. I have been doing criminal defense
work for close to 25 years. I also have two close
family members who have been in and out of jail
quite a bit in New York.
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Baker.
          Anyone else? Is there anybody I skipped
over?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: I had an uncle that
was --
          THE COURT: Actually, you are wearing a
mask, which reminds me that I should have told
you-all at the beginning, if you are vaccinated, we
don't require masks. If you are not vaccinated it
is probably up to you whether you want to wear a
mask or not. But I will say whether or not you are
vaccinated, if people feel more comfortable wearing
a mask that is certainly fine. But I couldn't
understand what you were saying. First of all, can
you give us your name.
          POTENTIAL JUROR: Melverna Aguilar.
have a relative, an uncle, that was tried here in
the Pecos Courtroom maybe about two years ago.
was, I guess it was a criminal case from him against
the Tribe of Santo Domingo.
```

```
THE COURT: And what is his name?
 1
 2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Kenneth Aguilar and
 3
    Daniel Coriz.
 4
              THE COURT: Now do you know what kind of
 5
    case that was?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I am not sure.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: And do you know what the
 8
    outcome was, were they guilty, not guilty?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I believe they were each
10
    some sort of plea bargain, I quess.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. So is there anything
12
    about that, your cousin's experience that you
13
    think --
                                Uncle, sorry.
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
15
              THE COURT: Your uncle, sorry, my mistake.
16
    Is there anything about your uncle's experience that
17
    would have an impact on you if you were selected in
    this case?
18
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't believe so, no.
20
              THE COURT: You think it wouldn't cause
21
    you to favor one side over the other?
2.2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
23
              THE COURT: All right. You could be fair
24
    and impartial to both sides?
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
 1
 2
    Ms. Aquilar.
 3
              Anybody else? I don't see any other
 4
    hands.
 5
              Have any of you ever worked for an
 6
    attorney or worked in a legal office?
 7
              Mr. Baker, we already know about you.
 8
    Anybody else in the back?
                                Ms. Thomas.
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I worked for a personal
10
    injury attorney for about six months.
11
              THE COURT: And who was that? Was that
12
    here in Albuquerque.
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. Patrick Fogel.
14
              THE COURT: All right. So is there
15
    anything about your work at that office that would
16
    have an impact on your view of -- well, you have
17
    already told us about your views on this case so,
18
    but I will ask you anyway.
19
               Is there anything about your work at that
20
    law office that would have any bearing at all on
21
    your view of the facts in this case?
2.2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
24
    Ms. Thomas.
25
              Anybody else ever work at a law, for a
```

```
lawyer or at a law office?
 1
               THE COURT: Now, I asked earlier if
 2
 3
    anybody had owned guns, so I am going to ask you a
 4
    few questions that you may or may not have answers
 5
    to.
 6
               Have any of you or anybody close to you,
 7
    to your knowledge, ever fired a shot to scare off a
 8
    trespasser or even an animal? I think I see a hand.
 9
    Can you tell us a little bit about that,
10
    Ms. Aquilar?
11
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Once when we were
12
    camping it sounded like an animal, so my uncle shot
13
    off one shot, and that was it.
               And once when I used to live with my
14
15
    grandma, she lives in the outskirts of the Tribe and
16
    it sounded like someone was trying to -- we don't
17
    know if it was an animal or person, but they just
18
    shot off one shot and nothing happened.
19
                           All right. If you were
               THE COURT:
20
    selected in this case do you think you could put
21
    that situation aside and decide this case without
2.2
    thinking about the experiences you just told us
23
    about?
24
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  All right.
```

```
Is there anybody else? One more hand in
 1
 2
    the back, Ms. Martin.
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. My name is Andrea
 4
    Martin. I have experienced as living on the
 5
    Reservation that my parents do own guns and every
 6
    once in awhile there is always an animal or you hear
 7
    something in the dark and they always shot off a
 8
    warning shot, just thinking suspicious.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. And as far as you
10
    know, did they ever hit an animal or a person or --
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No. My father always
12
    believed that he would shoot a warning shot if you
13
    are unsure someone was there.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you the
15
    same question. Would you be able to put your
16
    experience aside, your family experience aside and
17
    decide this case based solely on the facts and the
18
    evidence that is presented here in court?
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I guess, it kind of
20
    would.
21
              THE COURT: It kind of would impact you,
2.2
    you mean?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I guess it would impact
24
    my decision a little bit.
25
              THE COURT: All right. When you say, "I
```

```
guess it would," I just need to tell you that we
 1
 2
    need to be sure about what you think. I mean, it
 3
    would or it wouldn't.
 4
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I was taught in owning a
 5
    gun you never point it at someone.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
 7
    Ms. Martin.
 8
               All right. Anybody else? The question is
 9
    whether any of you or anyone close to you has shot a
10
    gun as a warning if you believe there was an
11
    intruder.
12
               I don't see any other hands.
13
               Now some of you have already generally
    answered this question, but my next question is,
14
15
    have any of you had any experience, whether it was
16
    you or a member of your family or someone close to
17
    you, who had an intruder on your property or a
18
    trespasser on your property.
19
              Now I am not asking if you shot at
    anybody, I am just asking if you ever had a
20
21
    situation where there was an intruder or a
2.2
    trespasser. Some of you have already told us about
23
    situations. You don't have to repeat that here.
24
    Anybody else? Mr. Baker.
25
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 I had somebody in the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
middle of the night on my roof who I confronted and
told him that I had a gun even though I didn't, and
he took off running.
          THE COURT: So if you were selected in
this case do you think you could just disregard your
personal experience?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah.
                                   That would have
no bearing.
          THE COURT:
                      Thank you, Mr. Baker.
          Anyone else have an intruder or a
trespasser? I see two hands in the back. We will
start with, I'm sorry, you will have to tell me your
name.
          POTENTIAL JUROR: John Schroeder.
          THE COURT: Mr. Schroeder.
          POTENTIAL JUROR: I had a few people come
around my backyard and stuff. Let's see, most of
the time I usually try to go out there and sound
scary, but more recently I had somebody in my
backyard and he started breaking in my shed, and I
ran him off.
          And I kind of -- I had a little BB gun.
was like you get out of here and I never heard from
him again. I could have shot his eye out, at least.
          THE COURT: I saw that in a movie.
```

```
Mr. Schroeder, if you were selected to be
 1
 2
    a juror in this case, I am going to ask you the same
 3
    question. Can you just put your personal experience
 4
    aside and decide the case not based on what happened
 5
    to you, but -- and when I say not based on what
 6
    happened to you, I mean I don't want you to favor
 7
    either side.
                  It is not, you know, I sympathize with
 8
    side or I would have done X, Y or Z. I want you to
 9
    put your personal experience aside and decide based
10
    on --
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I would sympathize.
12
              THE COURT: All right. You think you
13
    would not be able to be fair and impartial to both
    sides?
14
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I would probably be
16
    biased.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18
              Anybody else?
19
              Ms. Aquilar.
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: When I used to stay down
21
    here at my boyfriend, we used to live down here and
2.2
    there is always homeless people just crashing
23
    outside your house. And a couple of times we caught
24
    a couple of people trying to sleep behind our house.
25
              And, yeah, just go out there and see what
```

```
they want and if they are aggressive, then you
 1
    either leave them alone, call the police and that is
 2
 3
    pretty much what we did.
 4
              THE COURT: Have you done that, call the
 5
    police?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I have not called
 6
 7
    the police but my boyfriend would go out and tell
 8
    them to leave.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Did anything ever
10
    come of it?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, nothing.
12
              THE COURT: No confrontations?
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, usually they just
14
    want to sleep and they go away.
15
              THE COURT: All right. If you were
16
    selected in this case could you put that experience
17
    completely aside and decide this case based solely
    on the facts and the evidence in this case?
18
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I guess, like I said, I
20
    would be biased.
21
              THE COURT: You did say that.
2.2
             Thank you.
    enough.
23
              All right. Anybody else experience
24
    intruders or trespassers?
25
              All right. Why don't we move on to a
```

different subject.

2.2

Now, because this is a criminal case, the Government bears the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Now, let me explain to you that beyond a reasonable doubt simply means a doubt based on reason. It does not mean beyond all doubt. It does not mean beyond a doubt which sometimes we hear on TV shows.

Does everybody understand that it is the Government who bears the burden of proof that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt? Does everybody understand that and agree with that?

Does anybody disagree with that? Do you think the defendant should be held to prove his innocence? Does anybody think that?

I see no hands.

Now, I will tell you that the defendant is not required to prove his innocence. So if anybody feels that the defendant bears any burden, I would like to know that. So if any of you feel that, let me know, please.

Let me also tell you that under the Constitution we all have the right, the Fifth Amendment right not to testify. And if any of us were on trial and chose not to testify, there could

```
be no inference of guilt, no suspicion of guilt.
 1
               So my question to you is, if the defendant
 2
 3
    were to choose to exercise his Fifth Amendment right
 4
    not to testify, would any of you hold that against
 5
    the defendant? Would any of you think that the
 6
    defendant must be quilty if he decides not to
 7
    testify?
 8
              All right. I don't see any hands, so
 9
    everybody agrees the defendant has the right not to
10
    testify.
11
              I already told you that this case involves
12
    a charge of second-degree murder. There may be
13
    evidence presented here that is graphic. Do any of
    you feel that you could not sit as a juror in this
14
15
    case and view graphic visual evidence and hear
16
    graphic testimony? Is there anybody here who feels
17
    that you could not do that? I do see a hand.
18
              Just for the record could you tell us what
19
    your name is.
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Jerrina Sanchez.
21
              When they did the trial for my
2.2
    brother-in-law, they showed all the nasty stuff that
23
    happened there and I don't think I could go through
24
    that again.
25
              THE COURT: All right.
                                       Thank you,
```

```
1
    Ms. Sanchez.
 2
              Anybody else? Let's see, is that
 3
    Ms. Cortez.
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Delfina Cortez.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. You don't think
 6
    you could sit through that? Is there some
 7
    experience in your life that leads you to that?
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
                                       A year ago my
 9
    younger sister was in a car accident, she got ran
10
           She had severe head trauma. Going through
11
    that experience and seeing graphic stuff is tough.
12
                          Thank you. Anybody else?
              THE COURT:
                                                      I
13
    see another hand. Ms. Archuleta.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I have a very weak
14
15
    stomach when it comes to stuff like that. I had a
16
    niece that was ran over, her head, and I just lost
17
    it. I can't handle graphic stuff like that.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Anybody else?
19
              Have any of you ever served as a juror in
    either a criminal or a civil case before?
20
                                                I do see
21
    Ms. Sanchez.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I have served in the
2.2
23
    Taos County court a couple of times. One was for a
24
    doctor, what do you call it, he was guilty or that
25
    they were charging him.
                              They had charged, the
```

```
patient was charging the doctor for malpractice.
 1
 2
              And then another case was a guy had gotten
    into a fight out in Red River and he was being
 3
 4
    charged for that crime, too, on those two cases.
 5
    But that was it, other than that, those two.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. But before you sit
 7
    down, let me ask you, on those two cases you were on
 8
    the jury and --
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I was on the jury in
10
    those two cases.
11
              THE COURT: And do you remember on the
12
    civil malpractice case, was that --
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 They lost. The doctor
    won the case and the other one the guy that
14
15
    committed the crime as far as vandalizing property,
16
    he paid for the property. He was indicted on the
17
    other one.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Was he found
19
    quilty?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: He was found quilty,
21
    yes.
2.2
              THE COURT: Okay. All right.
23
              Now, having served as a juror before, is
24
    there anything about your prior jury experience that
25
    you think would have any kind of an impact on you if
```

```
you were a juror in this case?
 1
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, I think so.
 2
 3
    one with malpractice, I was very against it.
 4
    was two of us that didn't agree to it. And the
 5
    other one they didn't, they kind of pushed to the
 6
    other side. I kind of know that sometimes somebody
 7
    might mislead the other ones, so I really don't feel
 8
    comfortable on that.
 9
              THE COURT: If you were a juror on this
10
    case do you feel like you would stand your ground,
11
    so to speak.
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I don't. I am not
13
    one to out speak.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
14
15
    Ms. Sanchez.
16
              Anybody else have prior jury service?
17
    Ms. Aranda.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It's been awhile but I
18
19
    was on a couple of cases. One was a DUI that ended
20
    in a mistrial and the other one a lemon law trial,
21
    and the person that filed against the person won.
2.2
              THE COURT: The company won?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, no, the person who
24
    filed.
25
              THE COURT: On the lemon law case?
```

```
POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
 1
              THE COURT: And the DUI, you said that one
 2
 3
    was a mistrial.
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Right.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. So anything about
 6
    that experience, was it an experience that you think
 7
    would have an affect on you if you were here as a
 8
    juror?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No.
10
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone
11
    else with prior jury experience?
12
              All right. Let me talk a little bit about
13
    scheduling here. I told you that this case should
    take four, five days to try. I am expecting that we
14
15
    will have a jury seated, hopefully, tomorrow
16
    morning.
17
              So what we are doing is because of the
18
    COVID protocols we have to break up our jury
19
    selection into sections, and so you are the first
20
    group that we are speaking with. We will have a
21
    second group this afternoon. And if necessary we
2.2
    will have a third group tomorrow morning.
23
              So my expectation is we will have a jury
24
    seated either tomorrow morning or tomorrow early
25
    afternoon and we will begin, once the jury is seated
```

```
we will begin with the opening statements and the
 1
 2
    presentation of the evidence.
 3
              My expectation is that we will be done
 4
    with this trial Thursday or Friday. So my question
 5
    to you is, does that schedule present any problem
 6
    with vou?
 7
              Four hands. And they are all in the same
 8
    area. Let's start with the front row.
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Jerrina
10
    Sanchez. My mom is 93 years old and she needs taken
11
    care of, so I really need to be there to help her
12
    out with her care. I just lost my dad in October.
13
                           All right. So is there anyone
              THE COURT:
    else who could help take care of her if you were
14
15
    selected?
16
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I do have my sisters but
17
    they also work we kind of rotate, take turns, but,
18
    yeah.
19
              THE COURT: But yeah, they could help.
20
                                They could help but it
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
21
    would put a burden on them, too, because we all kind
2.2
    of fluctuate to kind of not put a burden on just one
23
    person.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Sanchez.
25
              Let's see, was there anyone else in the
```

```
first row?
 1
 2
              So then go to behind Ms. Sanchez, then,
 3
    Mr. Wilhite.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah.
 4
                                        I have got a
    medical procedure scheduled for in the morning at
 5
 6
    6:00 that I have been scheduled for, for about
 7
    three months. So I would just let you know.
 8
    could not reschedule that because I waited
 9
    three months for it already, maybe four. I would
10
    just let you know.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
    Anybody else in that middle row? And then we will
13
    go to the back row. Mr. Phillips.
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I don't live
15
    around here, I live in Espanola. And we only have
16
    one car and I used that car to bring it up today, so
17
    there is that burden on everybody so, yeah.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Are there any
19
    arrangements at all that you could make?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I can't.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you,
2.2
    Mr. Phillips. And Ms. Aranda.
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: If I might be allowed to
24
    speak with you, Your Honor, and the attorneys in
25
    private.
```

```
THE COURT: Yes, we will do that in a bit.
 1
 2
    We will wait on that, then.
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Thank you.
 4
              THE COURT: Anybody else have scheduling
 5
    conflicts this week?
 6
              All right. Let me ask, is there anyone
    who has any kind of a personal situation that might
 7
 8
    prevent you from concentrating or remembering the
 9
               Sometimes people are on medication and
    evidence?
10
    that makes them drowsy or has an impact on them, or
11
    sometimes people work night shifts and maybe are
12
    tired.
            Is there anything you can think of, the
13
    examples I gave, or anything else that might prevent
14
    you from concentrating or remembering, paying
15
    attention to the evidence? I see one hand.
16
              Mr. Schroeder.
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I work a swing shift.
18
    got out of work at midnight last night and woke up
19
    at 5:30.
              I am already tired.
20
              THE COURT:
                          If you were selected as a
21
    juror in this case would you be able to change your
2.2
    schedule?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I would not probably be
24
    able to change my schedule, I am not sure.
                                                 I would
25
    need to talk to my boss to see how it would be done.
```

```
THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the
 1
 2
    last part.
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I would have to talk to
 4
    my work and find out what the procedure is.
 5
              But from what I was told from old
 6
    information that if I was picked as a juror they
 7
    would let me off for the hours that I was here.
 8
              THE COURT: So would you have to work?
 9
    Excuse me, where are you going?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Can I use the restroom?
11
              THE COURT: No, not yet. I am going to
12
    let you go to the restroom, yes, but we can't do
13
    this while you are gone. Everybody has to be here.
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay.
15
              THE COURT: Let me just finish this answer
16
    and then I will take a short break so you can go to
17
    the restroom. Sorry.
18
              Were you finished?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
19
20
              THE COURT: Let me ask you, if you were
21
    allowed to be here in the courtroom during the day,
2.2
    would they still expect you to work nights?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I believe so, for some
24
    hours.
25
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Is that something that
```

```
is realistic? I mean, can you do that physically,
 1
 2
    do that and then pay attention here?
 3
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 It would be challenging.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. Understood.
 5
               We will take a very, very short break and
 6
    we will return in just a few minutes. Please don't
 7
    go far, but I know one member of our panel needs to
 8
    go to the bathroom, a couple do. Any of you who
 9
    need to go to bathroom, go now. We will take a
10
    short break.
11
               (Whereupon the jury panel exited the
12
    courtroom.)
13
               (A recess was taken.)
14
               (Whereupon the jury panel entered the
15
    courtroom.)
16
               THE COURT: Please be seated. Back on the
17
    record in USA versus Smith. It looks like everybody
    is back.
18
19
               So when we broke I was asking if anybody
20
    had any kind of personal situation that would
21
    prevent you from concentrating or remembering the
2.2
    evidence in this case.
23
               And is there anybody else?
24
              Mr. Johnson.
25
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I am currently living
```

```
out of my vehicle. My well-being is more concerned
 1
    to me than what is going on in the courtroom.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: So you feel that you would,
 4
    then, correct, have difficulty concentrating on this
 5
    case?
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I would.
 7
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Johnson.
 8
              Anybody else? Ms. Archuleta.
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I have a medical
10
    condition called temporal arthritis, and I have
11
    severe headaches where I have to stop what I am
12
    doing and take medication. I have been on
13
    prednisone for over a year.
14
              THE COURT: Is that something that occurs
15
    regularly?
16
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, it occurred very
17
    often and since I have been on the prednisone, it
    kind of tapers off a little bit. I never know if it
18
19
    is going to come, the headaches, but sometimes I
20
    can't concentrate.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. Has that happened
2.2
    today, for example?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I am starting to feel a
24
    little pain but, you know, it has subsided now.
25
              THE COURT:
                          All right. When was the last
```

```
time you experienced it?
 1
 2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Day before yesterday.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you,
 4
    Ms. Archuleta.
 5
              Is there anybody else who has any personal
 6
    issue that could affect your ability to concentrate
 7
    or focus on the evidence, any kind of personal
 8
    situation at all, anything.
 9
              This is Ms. Roberts.
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I do work nights from
11
    11:00 p.m. to 11:00 a.m. but not Sunday nights, so
12
    that is why I'm here. But because it is summertime,
13
    they don't usually have coverage.
                                        It is a lot less
14
    time for them to have coverage, so what they might
15
    do is change my hours so I can get here at the time
16
    in the morning, but I pretty much will have to do
17
    some sort of block of time at work at night.
18
              THE COURT: All right. What would your
19
    night hours be, do you have any idea?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                If I need to be, if I
21
    need to leave here by 5:00 or 6:00, they will
2.2
    probably try to move me from 8:00 to 7:00 kind of
23
    situation.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Now, you tell me,
25
    are you going to be able to listen and concentrate
```

```
and focus on this evidence if you are working at
 1
 2
    night?
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It would be difficult,
 4
    probably, after a couple of days of not getting much
 5
    sleep.
 6
              THE COURT: Anybody else? Well, I think
 7
    one of you might have brought to my staff's
 8
    attention that you neglected to advise me of some
 9
    plans; is that right, some plans this week that
10
    might prevent you from serving as a juror, anybody?
11
              Now we did hear about a medical
12
    condition --
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, ma'am, that was me.
14
              Is there something going on in your
15
    schedule that might prevent you from being here this
16
    week? And just for the record, you are Mr. Wilhite.
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I have got a
18
    medical appointment scheduled for tomorrow at 6:30
19
    in the morning.
20
              THE COURT: You did mention that.
21
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I was just reconfirming
2.2
    if that is what you needed.
23
              THE COURT: Thank you for letting me know
24
    that.
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR: You're welcome.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
THE COURT: So let me ask, is there
anybody here who has any difficulty hearing what is
going on here in the courtroom?
          Nobody has a hearing issue.
          Is there anyone who has any trouble seeing
what is going on here in the courtroom?
                                         There may
be exhibits and things of that nature that you would
have to see. Nobody has any trouble?
          All right. Does everybody understand the
English?
          I see one hand.
          POTENTIAL JUROR: Good morning. My name
is Amanda Torres and English is my second language.
So I miss some of the things or in between some
words, so I could not understand 100 percent in this
case.
          THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you,
this morning while you have been in the courtroom
here have you understood everything or have there
been things that you have missed?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: Some things I miss, like
I cannot mention right now, but a few, yes.
          THE COURT: All right. Okay. Thank you,
Ms. Torres-Vasquez.
          You know, I did mention that obviously a
gun was involved here. Let me ask you, was there
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

```
anything about the use of a gun that causes anybody
    any problem, in other words, is it such that because
    a gun was involved you do not, you do or you do not
    think you can be a fair and impartial juror.
    there anybody who has an opinion of guns, a feeling
    of guns that you feel that this may not be the case
    for you?
              THE COURT:
                          I see Mr. Wilhite.
                                Yes, ma'am. I just feel
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
    owning a gun you need to be responsible enough to
    handle the gun, fire the gun or own a gun.
    think it is basically the responsibility of any gun
13
    owner to be responsible enough to, you know, handle
    a gun.
            That is it.
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
              Now you have not heard any evidence in
    this case at all. I have asked you a number of
    general questions but you haven't heard any
    evidence.
              So I have told you already what the
    Indictment, what the charge is in the Indictment,
    but the Indictment is not evidence of anything, so
    you have not heard any evidence yet. But
24
    nevertheless, I am going to ask you this question:
    Based on what you have heard so far this morning do
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
any of you have any feelings that are either for or
against the Government's side of the case, the
prosecution, based solely on the things you have
heard this morning? Do any of you think that you
feel negatively towards the Government based on what
you have heard here today?
          All right. I see no hands.
                                       The same
question about the defense side, do any of you feel
negatively towards the defense based on what you
have heard thus far today?
          And, Ms. Sanchez, you would feel like you
would?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: I do because I said that
I own a gun and, yeah, but I think what you should
have done, you shoot a gun, you should know what you
are shooting at. You should just shoot randomly
into the air. I am really against that. Not only
did I have my brother-in-law shot, but I also had my
nephew at three years old shot because my
brother-in-law was cleaning a gun and the bullet
went through the wall, and I really feel very
strongly about the responsibility of owning a gun
and what you're going to shoot at.
          THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Sanchez.
          Ms. Aquilar.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

```
POTENTIAL JUROR: Negatively for this
           I feel angered based on, I don't know if it
    was a woman that was indigenous, it being in Indian
    Country, and being Native myself, I don't
    necessarily believe he is quilty. But I do feel,
    have a negative anger feelings and I do want to know
    more to make sure that it is like a fair trial,
    yeah, that's all I have.
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you, Ms. Aquilar.
              Anybody else?
              Now at the end of the case I am going
    to -- another one. I'm sorry, I didn't see you.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I agree with what she
           I follow like the whole gun thing.
    hunting guns, but I would never point them at a
             That is what I was always taught.
    person.
    also Native American, so I also feel biased towards
    that, especially with the missing murder indigenous
            I follow that very closely, too, so I do
20
    feel like I have a negative feeling.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
2.2
    Anybody else? Ms. Archuleta.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I personally don't like
    guns, I never have. On the other hand I feel like
24
    in this case being that the FBI is involved, I have
```

```
a niece that does work with the FBI and I feel that
 1
 2
    I would probably side with the FBI case.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Your niece
 4
    currently works with the FBI?
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
 5
                                 Yes.
              THE COURT: What is her name?
 6
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Alexandra Ramirez.
 8
              THE COURT: Thank you. Anybody else?
 9
              And in the back, Juror Bowen.
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I am not even sure, the
11
    qun freaked me out something.
12
              THE COURT: I can't hear you.
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't own a gun and
14
    they kind of scare me, and I really related to
15
    something the gentleman here said that if you are a
16
    gun owner that you have to take responsibility.
17
    cannot imagine just shooting at a noise because that
18
    could potentially be a human life that you were
19
    taking, and it just seems reckless.
20
              And I also agree with what she just said.
    I have a friend that works for the FBI and her name
21
2.2
    is Tara Lochinko (phonetic). She is an analyst, and
23
    I tend to think that I am holding on to our
24
    Government is still a good entity and these are the
25
    smartest people in investigations. And she reads 3,
```

```
400 pages a day and puts together threats of threats
 1
 2
    to our country. And I just, knowing her for
 3
    15 years, I tend to think that the FBI has got a
 4
    legitimate case.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. So you haven't
 6
    heard any evidence but just based on your friendship
 7
    with someone who is an FBI analyst you feel that the
 8
    FBI, you feel more favorable to the Government side?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
10
              THE COURT: Well, thank you for telling us
11
    that. But my next question for you is, and only you
12
    can answer this question. Do you feel that you can
13
    put that feeling completely aside, disregard it and
14
    make a decision based on the facts and the evidence
15
    that you hear in this case?
16
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, it would really
17
    depend on what the case is.
18
              THE COURT: You haven't heard any facts,
19
    yet but just based on your feeling.
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Honestly, I would do the
21
    best I can.
                 I don't want to be prejudiced against
2.2
    the defense side, if they make no case whatsoever.
23
    If I am not totally convinced, you know, beyond a
24
    reasonable doubt that he did it, then I would have
25
    to side with the defense.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
So not knowing what the case is and how
they are going to present it, I would just have to
say I will do the best I can with it.
          THE COURT: But only you know how you feel
about what your friend has told you about FBI work
and things of that nature, so that is why I asked.
Do you really feel like you can just disregard the
FBI's involvement in this case and approach this
case with be an open mind, clean slate?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: That is a good question.
          You started out by saying that we all have
biases and experiences in life that taint how we
process information. And I tend to look at the
Government as an honest, good entity. I am
desperate that we do have that still in our country.
          So I would do the best I can not to be
biased and saying, oh, every witness, every person
they brought forward is telling the absolute truth,
but, you know, I mean if we don't have an
organization in our country that we can depend on
for truth, we are kind of lost.
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Bowen.
          Anybody else?
          I don't see any other hands? Oh, one more
```

```
hand.
 1
 2
              Mr. Phillips.
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, I heard it was
 4
    about Native American. I am Native American and
 5
    basically anything to do with Natives just gets me
 6
    angry. And, yeah, I mean there is just completely
                    It would affect me on their part.
 7
    over the edge.
 8
    So, yes, I would have a hard time.
 9
              THE COURT: You would have a hard time.
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: With this case just
    because it is Native.
11
12
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13
              Anybody else have any feelings for or
    against the defendant based on what you have heard
14
15
    so far?
16
              Ms. Thomas.
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I have already
18
    told the courtroom about my experience when my
19
    apartment was broken into. And we hear all the time
20
    about people getting their homes vandalized and
21
    their property taken or damaged and we hear about
2.2
    people that had someone come into your home and they
23
    use their firearm to protect themselves. So in this
24
    case, I think I am more in favor and empathetic to
25
    the defendant.
```

```
All right. Well, I understand
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
    what you are saying. Thank you.
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Thank you.
 4
              THE COURT: Anybody else have anything
 5
    that they want to bring to my attention?
 6
              I don't see any other hands.
 7
              So at the end of the case I am going to
 8
    instruct you on the law. And basically the facts
 9
    are what the jury resolves, in other words, you
10
    decide what the facts are that support what happened
11
    in this case, whatever your decision may be.
12
              But the Court will give you at the end of
13
    the trial the law that you have to apply.
                                                And so my
14
    question is, generally speaking, and again you
15
    haven't heard the evidence, you don't have the
16
    instructions yet, but if you were to find that I
17
    give you the law and there is something about the
18
    law that you disagree with or you don't like, and I
19
    know this isn't a drug case, but, for example, there
    are people who think that certain drugs should be
20
21
    legal.
2.2
              So if we are in a drug case, I always ask
23
    people whether or not they could convict someone
24
    even if they disagree that certain drugs are
25
    illegal. And so is there anything that you can tell
```

me that makes you think you would have a difficult 1 2 time following the law as the Court instructs you? You know, I told you already, for example, that the 3 4 Government bears the burden of proof. Can you 5 follow the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with it or not? And it looks to me like 6 everybody can follow the Court's instructions. 7 8 So I am about to turn it over to the 9 attorneys, but I want to just ask you, is there 10 anything that comes to any of your minds, anything 11 at all that would suggest to you that you could not 12 sit on this jury and render a fair verdict based 13 only on the evidence that is presented in this case 14 and follow the law as the Court instructs you. 15 one little catchall question. I don't see any hands. 16 17 Before I turn it over to the attorneys, 18 let me take a moment and talk to the attorneys 19 privately here. 20

I should tell you, members of the panel, that we are not wearing masks because we have all been vaccinated. So I told you that you didn't have to wear them if you were vaccinated, but I will tell you that I didn't tell you that we were not wearing them because we are vaccinated.

21

2.2

23

24

```
(Whereupon a Bench discussion was held
 1
 2
    outside the hearing of the jury.)
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. So are you okay with
    15 minutes?
 4
 5
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Certainly.
 6
              MR. NAYBACK: Yes.
 7
              THE COURT: So is there anything that you
 8
    need to bring to my attention that you want to cover
 9
    beyond what I have covered?
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I was only going to ask
11
    a little bit more about the burden of proof. I was
12
    going to ask about masks if anybody will be
13
    concerned if we don't wear masks. I think some
    people still have that.
14
15
              MR. NAYBACK: We were just going to ask a
16
    little bit more about qun safety. You touched on
17
    it, just the rules of gun safety and that was about
18
    it, but probably ten minutes. We are not going to
19
    take that long.
20
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I might ask a couple
21
    more gun questions.
2.2
              THE COURT: My thoughts about all of this
23
    is that I told you before I am not looking into
24
    delving into the inner-workings of someone's
25
    opinions, but I think that these issues you need to
```

```
Whatever I have covered I would
 1
    know them.
    certainly, you know, not -- I wouldn't say no to
 2
 3
    following up on gun safety things that we really got
 4
    going on. So and my personal preference is to leave
 5
    it to you-all to maybe flesh out things that we
 6
    raised and I didn't cover fully because I leave it
 7
    to you guys.
 8
              Anything else?
 9
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 No. I might ask some
10
    follow-up questions in line with that.
11
              THE COURT: Stuff that I have asked,
12
    things that I have covered, but I want you to try to
13
    keep it as short of possible.
14
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Just a couple of
15
    follow-ups.
16
              THE COURT: What I will do after you-all
17
    finish with your questions, I will excuse the panel,
18
    and then we will have one or two people that
19
    mentioned they want to talk to me privately.
20
              Just one, okay. Thank you.
21
               (Whereupon the following proceedings were
2.2
    held in Open Court.)
23
              THE COURT: All right. At this time we
24
    will turn it over, then, to the attorneys. We will
25
    hear first from the Government, Ms. Wilson, and as I
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
said before, we are all asking questions not to
embarrass anybody, not to cause any distress for
anyone, simply to make sure we all understand how
you view some of the issues that may be involved in
this case.
          Ms. Wilson, you may proceed.
          MS. WILSON:
                       Thank you, Your Honor.
          Good morning again. My name is Novalene
Wilson, and I am responsible, along with my
colleague Kyle Nayback, for presenting the evidence
for the charges against the defendant, Mr. Douglas
Smith.
          I just want to follow-up briefly on a few
things that we discussed this morning. And I think
we have had a pretty good discussion, already.
          Of those that own guns and have shotguns,
how many know about gun safety rules?
                 Quite a few hands here. Let me go
          Okay.
ahead, whoever is comfortable, if you want to stand
up and kind of tell us what you know about those
basic gun safety rules.
          POTENTIAL JUROR: I have taken gun safety
rules in Taos. It has been a long time. I always
know that you always carry a safety on your gun.
You don't take the safety off and you don't point
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the gun at nobody unless you have the intentions of shooting that gun. And then you have to know about having the gun in a safe location where nobody, kids can get ahold of high up. MS. WILSON: Anything else, any other gun safety rules that you-all know about? Those of you who raised your hands. I would hate to call on you but --POTENTIAL JUROR: I own guns and stuff, but I have always heard not to point them at anything that you are not willing on destroying. And when you point a gun, you don't have your finger on the trigger unless you are ready to fire. I don't know about the rest of you-all, but common sense goes a long ways. When you own a gun, you never store one loaded with one in the chamber, just for safety purposes of you and your family, your loved ones, your friends that may be around. You never know when a gun, most guns I hear that kill are ones that are assumed empty. In fact, my friend a long time ago he had

In fact, my friend a long time ago he had a shotgun and he pointed that gunshot right at my head. I said, no, put that shotgun down now. I was 3 feet away from him. He pointed it down to the floor, pulled the trigger and it went off.

```
So gun safety is real big. I understand
 1
 2
    he didn't meant to, but if he would have meant to,
 3
    he would have killed me right away. I didn't press
 4
    any charges or anything else, but that opened my
 5
    eyes to how serious guns are. And even little BB
 6
    qun, they hit you in an area, they can do damage.
                                                         Ι
 7
    am not saying they can kill, but they can do damage.
 8
              MS. WILSON: Just for the record, what was
 9
    your name and your number.
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Cody Stevenson,
11
    Number 5.
12
              MS. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Stevenson.
13
              Anybody else?
                              Yes.
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: The first rule of owning
15
    any kind of handqun or hunting rifle or anything
16
    else is to always have a trigger lock on each gun,
17
    that way somebody can just pick it up and pull the
18
    trigger because it won't go off. That is the
19
    Number 1 rule.
20
              MS. WILSON: And just for the record, your
21
    name and --
2.2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Cory Wilhite,
23
    and I am Juror Number 15.
24
              MS. WILSON: Juror 15. Thank you.
25
              And if I could ask you a follow-up
```

```
question on that. Have you heard of the rule,
 1
 2
    Always be sure of your target and what is behind it.
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I have heard of it but
 4
    it is a little deceiving, especially if you are
 5
    hunting for sport with a rifle. Handgun is a little
    different.
 6
 7
              MS. WILSON: Why is it different with the
 8
    handgun?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Because handguns were
10
    more made for self-protection and not so much target
11
    shooting. So, you know, handguns, they pretty much
12
    were made for protection. I mean, that's what they
13
    are.
14
              MS. WILSON: And the distinction is it is
15
    good to know what your target is and what is behind
16
    that target if you are looking at protection?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: If you are shooting a
18
    handgun, yes. Not for sporting, hunting for sport
19
                   It is a little different.
    with a rifle.
20
              MS. WILSON: Okay. Anybody have any other
21
    thoughts on that?
2.2
              You have heard the rule, Always be sure of
23
    your target and know what is behind it. You have
24
    heard that rule before.
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Absolutely.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
What does that mean to you?
          MS. WILSON:
          POTENTIAL JUROR:
                            Well, anytime you ever
decide you are going to own a gun you should go to
gun safety, you should go to hunters education.
When my stepdad brought them around me, I was like
eight years old and he took me to gun safety
immediately. So, I mean, that is something you do
right away and they tell you, don't even point it in
any direction.
                The way you carry a gun even if you
are walking and a hunting rifle, you hold it up or
point it at the ground just to make sure you are not
pointing it at anybody.
          You put the safety on. If you go to point
the gun at something, you better make sure what you
are looking at before you pull the trigger.
goes for hunting, whether it is a hunting rifle, a
shotgun, whether you are hunting for food or you are
just target practice.
          It doesn't matter what kind of gun,
qun, you don't point it at anything you are not
going to shoot. But even though targets, they can
go through targets, depending on the gun, depending
on what is behind it.
          MS. WILSON: Just for the record, could I
get your Juror Number?
```

```
POTENTIAL JUROR:
 1
                                Ten.
               MS. WILSON: Number 10, thank you.
 2
 3
               Anybody else familiar with that rule, have
 4
    any thoughts on that rule?
 5
               MS. WILSON: So the concern about shooting
 6
    at night, does anybody have any, and thinking of the
 7
    gun safety rules, does anyone have any thoughts on
 8
    that, that we already haven't covered?
 9
              Yes, sir.
10
               POTENTIAL JUROR: You can't see what you
11
    are actually shooting. You want to make sure the
12
    reason they say that in the rule as far as knowing
13
    what your target is because guns have an issue where
    they shoot. If that ricochets or go and hit a child
14
15
    or any human being, there is a potential for death
16
    there.
17
               MS. WILSON: And just for the record, your
18
    Juror Number?
19
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 5.
20
               MS. WILSON:
                            Thank you, sir.
21
               Thank you for your time.
2.2
               THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Wilson.
23
               Next we will hear from Mr. Elsenheimer for
24
    the defendant.
25
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

```
Good morning again. My name is Aric
 1
 2
    Elsenheimer and I represent Douglas Smith.
 3
              Thank you so much for participating this
 4
    morning and for taking your time to be here. I want
 5
    to ask you a couple of questions and I don't want to
 6
    take too much more of your time. First I want to
 7
    ask you, we are in the middle of, not in the middle
 8
    of, thank goodness, but we are kind of still a
 9
    little bit in the COVID pandemic. And you see that
10
    us here, we don't have masks on and people have, you
11
    know, a lot of different views about masks and some
12
    people have very -- there is a real concern about
13
    whether somebody has a mask or doesn't have a mask.
14
               Is anybody seeing that we up here don't
15
    have masks on, does anybody feel like they might
16
    hold it against us as the lawyers for Mr. Smith or
17
    the Government that we aren't wearing masks? Does
18
    anybody have a concern about that?
19
              Is it Ms. Cortez?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I have very strong
21
    emotions my mom passed away of the COVID, so it is
2.2
    still very fresh.
23
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Certainly. Thank you,
24
                 Anyone else?
    Ms. Cortez.
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Just to clarify your
```

```
question you mean negative emotions?
 1
 2
               MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Yes, negative like you
 3
    might hold it against us.
 4
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                No, I am fully
 5
    vaccinated, I just choose to wear a mask for
 6
    everybody else.
 7
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: All right.
 8
    Ms. Aquilar, right?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes.
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Thank you.
11
               The other question I want to ask,
12
    Judge Herrera asked a question similar to this, but
13
    let me ask more broadly. Does anyone have anything
14
    going on in their life, maybe, it could be anything,
15
    something that is causing you anxiety or a difficult
16
    time at work or maybe a difficult time in your
17
    family life, having trouble with your kids, your
18
    parents, something like that, that might distract
19
    your attention away from the evidence that you hear
20
    in this trial.
21
               That you have a little bit of a concern
2.2
    that you might not focus on what you hear in the
23
    trial because you have something else that you are
24
    worried about or that you are anxious about.
25
    Anybody have anything like that, that is going on in
```

```
their lives?
 1
 2
               Great. Let me ask you about,
 3
    Judge Herrera spoke about this, the burden of proof.
 4
    In our criminal justice system Mr. Smith is
 5
    innocent. He sits there innocent and he remains
 6
    innocent unless and only unless the Government is
    able to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt.
 7
 8
               And it is the Government's burden,
 9
    entirely the Government. Does anybody have any
10
    concerns that they would want to hear from Mr. Smith
11
    or that they would want Mr. Smith and his lawyers to
12
    put on evidence or to fill in blanks that the
13
    Government hasn't filled in, that they wouldn't hold
14
    the Government to their burden of proof.
15
               Is anybody concerned or have feelings
16
    about that? How do you-all feel about that or think
17
                  Let me ask, Ms. Sanchez,
    about that?
18
    Juror Number 1, what do you think about the burden
19
    of proof?
20
               POTENTIAL JUROR: He is innocent until
21
    proven guilty.
2.2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: And would you, if you
23
    were a juror in that case, would you follow that and
24
    require the Government to prove every element beyond
25
    a reasonable doubt?
```

```
1
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Absolutely.
 2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank, you Ms. Sanchez.
 3
              Anybody else?
 4
              Mr. Bowen, let me ask you a question.
 5
    had a conversation with Judge Herrera --
 6
              Thank you, sir. And again you are Juror
 7
    Number 14.
 8
              You had an extensive conversation with
 9
    Judge Herrera and I just want to follow-up on that a
10
    little bit. You said something about you think it
11
    is important in society, and correct me if I am
12
    misphrasing how you said it. I don't have what you
13
    said in front of me, but my understanding is that
    you believe it is important that we as a society
14
15
    have a Governmental structure and part of that
16
    structure is the FBI and that you might be more
    favorable to the Government's side given that view
17
18
    of our government.
19
              Does that kind of adequately summarize
20
    what you said?
21
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes.
2.2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: So let me ask you about
23
           If you were a juror and you heard evidence
24
    and you thought, well, I don't know if -- you were
25
    on the fence. Would you be concerned that you might
```

```
lean towards the Government's side because you have
 1
    that view?
 2
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Well, I would be
 4
    concerned that I would lean on that. Let me ask a
 5
    certain question that is in my mind. So the
 6
    defendant is innocent until proven guilty?
 7
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Absolutely.
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Has the defendant spent
 9
    any time in jail?
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I am not at liberty to
11
    answer that.
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, I mean, regarding
13
    this case. Because the follow-up question would be
14
    if he has spent any time in jail and we are to
15
    assume he is innocent, why would you have an
16
    innocent man spend any time in jail?
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Mr. Smith is not in jail
18
    right now. If you were to hear that he did spend
19
    time in jail would that influence how you view
20
    evidence in the case?
21
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, I go back to that.
2.2
    For me trying to understand innocent until proven
23
    guilty, if he did spend time in jail why would an
24
    innocent man be put in jail?
25
              THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Bowen.
```

There are things that we can -- well, let me put it this way. As a juror, I am glad you are telling us these things that are on your mind, but there may be a number of things that we can't really answer.

2.2

Sometimes that happens in the course of a trial where people who served on juries tell me after the case, you know, I wondered about this or I wondered about that. I can tell you that the information that you are allowed to consider in making a decision of guilt or innocence will be presented to you in the course of the trial.

And so my biggest concern would be would you be able to put aside whatever questions you may have that maybe aren't answered, can you put them aside and decide the case solely on the evidence that is presented in the court? And if you can't, feel free to tell us that, but I am just telling you that there may be questions that we can't answer in the course of the proceeding. So do you feel like you can put some of your personal questions aside?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Like I said earlier, I can do the best I can with it. I need to hear the case before I can make a decision, but I do think that I am a fair-minded person. And, you know, if the case doesn't seem to convince me beyond a

```
reasonable doubt that he did it, then I would have
 1
 2
    to say he is innocent.
 3
              THE COURT: But if the issue of is he in
 4
    jail, has he been in jail, if that is not presented
 5
    in this case, can you put that question aside and
 6
    just decide the case based on the evidence that is
 7
    presented here?
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Your Honor, I
 9
                    It is one of those things that, you
    believe I can.
10
    know, if you are innocent until proven guilty then
11
    if you have spent time in jail because an
12
    organization has done an investigation and they said
13
    let's arrest him, he is a dangerous person.
    said, I try to look at the government as an entity
14
15
    that we can trust that they are trying to do things
16
    the right way. Just like the police, I think they
17
    are trying to do things the right way. They mess it
18
    up sometimes, but --
19
              THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer, I interrupted
20
    your questioning. You may proceed.
21
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: If the Government didn't
2.2
    do a sufficient investigation or if you have looked
23
    at the facts and were deliberating on the case and
24
    had a question, it was a tie. In a criminal case,
25
    it was a tie for you, which side would you go for?
```

```
In a tie does the Government, have they met their
 1
 2
    burden of proof?
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know if I can
 4
    answer that, really.
 5
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: You know about baseball,
 6
    right?
            And in baseball the rule is the tie goes to
 7
    the runner. You have heard that expression. Who is
 8
    the runner in the criminal case?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Probably the defendant.
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: If you were a juror in
11
    this case, would you promise to follow that rule and
12
    give the benefit to Mr. Smith and hold the
13
    Government to their burden of proof beyond a
14
    reasonable doubt?
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Boy, it really depends
16
    on the case. All I can honestly say I will do the
17
    best I can with the information that they give me.
18
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you, Mr. Bowen.
19
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Does anybody else after
20
    that discussion, does anybody else have any feelings
21
    or thoughts about holding the Government to their
2.2
    burden of proof, might be concerned in this case
23
    that you would have a tough time doing that?
24
              What about over here in the jury box, does
25
    anybody have anything that they want to say that we
```

```
1
    haven't heard yet?
 2
              Is it Mr. Renner, Juror Number 12?
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes.
 4
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: What do you think about
 5
    the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt in a
 6
    criminal case?
 7
              THE COURT: I couldn't hear a word you
 8
    said.
 9
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I want to ask you about
10
    the burden of proof in a criminal case. If you were
11
    a juror, do you have any concerns about that, if you
12
    were a juror in this case?
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No.
14
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: About holding the
15
    Government to their burden of proof?
16
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No.
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19
              What I am going to do now is get together
20
    with the attorneys for a movement. I am going to
21
    ask Yvonne, it would be the -- so I am going excuse
2.2
    all of you except the one or two who wanted to talk
23
    to the Court and the attorneys privately.
24
              Ms. Aranda, I know you did and I don't
25
    remember if there was another one. But anybody who
```

```
wanted to raise an issue in a smaller setting, stay
 1
    behind. The others, follow Yvonne to the Pecos
 2
 3
    courtroom and she will give you further
    instructions.
 4
 5
               (Whereupon the jury panel exited the
 6
    courtroom.)
 7
               (Whereupon Juror Number 8 entered the
 8
    courtroom.)
 9
              THE COURT: We have two jurors who wanted
10
    to express some views in a smaller setting.
11
              Ms. Archuleta.
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It was regarding my
13
    statement earlier to the FBI. I don't know if you
    know her, and I wasn't sure if I was supposed to say
14
15
    her name. I don't know if you know her or not.
16
              THE COURT: We are on the record and I
17
    need to hear you. I am talking about the exchange
18
    that was just going on. I did not hear
19
    Ms. Archuleta.
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know what she
21
    does. I know she works for the FBI. She lives here
2.2
    in Albuquerque. I was not sure whether I needed to
23
    say her name.
24
              THE COURT: I don't remember if I asked
25
    you her name or not, I may have. But I wanted to
```

```
know so that people would know who we are talking
 1
 2
    about since we do have someone here from the FBI.
 3
    And I did ask about the person who had a relative
 4
    with the Espanola Police since the Espanola Police
 5
    were involved here. Was that you, too?
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Yes.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. So that's why.
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I just wanted to make it
 9
    clear that I would probably side with the FBI
10
    because she works for them and I am just trying to
11
    be as honest as I possibly can.
12
              THE COURT: Well, that's important.
13
    all need that. Before I let you go, let me ask if
14
    the attorneys have any questions as a result of what
15
    you just told us.
16
              MS. WILSON:
                            No.
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Thank you. So follow Yvonne.
19
               (Whereupon Juror Number 8 exited the
20
    courtroom.)
21
               (Whereupon Juror Number 21 entered the
2.2
    courtroom.)
23
              THE COURT: Ms. Aranda.
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, I am more than
25
    glad to serve as a juror, but this is the most
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
inopportune time. I am doing major construction at
my house. I am the only one there, and the reason I
asked for a private audience is because it is
unfortunate nowadays that you don't really want to
let a lot of people know that you are away from your
home for a certain period of time, right? I am the
only one there who would be able to supervise the
project that is going on and it is really not a good
time for me to be away from that project for so many
days.
          THE COURT: Let me ask you, do you have --
I know you said that you are the only one there. Do
you have any other family members that could cover
for you if you were selected in this case?
          POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, I have a ton of
family members, but there is no plan in writing that
they can say, yes, this is the way she wants it or,
no, that is not where she wants this.
                                      So, no, they
don't know my plans nor is it really written.
is why, you know, my excavator or something will
come to me and say, Is this exactly where you wasn't
this or whatever. Yes, so --
          THE COURT: So then my next question is,
is there any way to reschedule your project?
          POTENTIAL JUROR:
                           No.
                                 My front yard is
```

```
just, it is completely right now the pavement is
 1
 2
    torn up, they have done the footings for a wall and
 3
    to tell you the truth during this pandemic you
 4
    postpone something, you don't know when you are
 5
    going to be able to get it rescheduled then on time.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. And then I am just
 7
    going to ask you because I am curious, you know me
 8
    and what about you knowing me might affect the way
 9
    you view this case?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, because I know
11
    you, because how I feel about you and how I admire
12
    you, anything that you may or may not say or
13
    anything that I see, I am going to basically go with
14
    how you, you know, I would lean towards you,
15
    whatever. It is just the way it is and the way I
16
    feel about you.
17
              THE COURT: Well, you have known me a long
18
           You might see a reaction or something in me
19
    that might mean something to you that maybe somebody
20
    else wouldn't. But, all right, not that that is
21
    important, I was just curious.
2.2
              All right. Thanks.
23
               (Whereupon Juror Number 21 exited the
24
    courtroom.)
25
               (Whereupon Juror Number 2 entered the
```

```
1
    courtroom.)
 2
               THE COURT: If you would give us your
 3
    name, please.
 4
               POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Rose
 5
    Prentice.
 6
               THE COURT: And, Ms. Prentice, I
 7
    understand you had something you wanted to share
 8
    with us.
 9
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, I recently hurt my
10
    foot and I forgot my doctor's note. And my doctor
11
    wants me to be off my foot for about a month, and so
12
    I have been using my crutches and stuff like that,
13
    but I don't want to be really tired and all of that,
14
    so I just wanted to bring it up.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you how
16
    have you felt today?
17
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I feel kind of tired.
18
    My mom had to drive me because I didn't feel
19
    comfortable driving by myself and stuff like that.
20
               I fractured my foot on Monday and I went
21
    to the urgent care and stuff like that, but had to
2.2
    go to orthopedics and they gave me a boot. I can
23
    take it off and everything like that, but I have to
24
    treat it like a cast, so --
25
               THE COURT: Are you in pain?
```

```
POTENTIAL JUROR:
 1
                                No.
                                      It is
 2
    uncomfortable. Like I get really tired especially
 3
    since, you know, I am going up from like the stand
 4
    and stuff like that, so --
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Are you on any
 6
    kind of pain medication?
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                I am not.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. So are you saying that
 9
    you don't think you can handle this for a week.
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I want to kind of get
11
    myself, I want to heal and stuff like that. I don't
12
    want to, you know, I don't want to cause any
13
    complications to my foot and stuff like that, so --
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask the lawyers,
15
    do you have any questions for Ms. Prentice based on
16
    her comments? Ms. Wilson?
17
              MS. WILSON: No, Your Honor.
18
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20
               (Whereupon Juror Number 2 exited the
21
    courtroom.)
2.2
              THE COURT: What I would like to do is
23
    take five minutes and then I will return to the
24
    courtroom and we will take up challenges for cause
25
    and we will see how many people we have.
```

```
(A recess was taken.)
 1
 2
               (Open court, outside the presence of the
 3
    jury panel.)
 4
               THE COURT: Please be seated. We are back
 5
    on the record. At this time we will take up the
 6
    challenges for cause.
 7
               Now, in the interest of time, what I would
 8
    like to do, and those of you who have tried cases
 9
    with me before, probably you will remember.
10
    seems to me that there are going to be a number of
11
    challenges for cause that both sides will agree
12
    with. So what I would like to do is begin by not
13
    arguing challenges for cause.
                                    I will start with the
14
    Government and if the Government tells me who their
15
    challenges for cause are, once they give us the
16
    juror's name if the defense doesn't object, just let
17
    us know, and then we can move on to the next person.
18
               So we will get through the list of the
19
    people that we all agree on and if there are any
20
    that require arguing, then we will go back to those.
21
               Does that make sense?
2.2
              MR. NAYBACK:
                             It does.
23
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Yes.
24
               THE COURT: All right. We will begin,
25
    then, with the Government's challenges for cause.
```

```
MR. NAYBACK: Number 2, Rose Regina
 1
 2
    Lopez-Prentice.
 3
              THE COURT: Is there objection?
 4
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No, Your Honor, we
 5
    agree.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. So we will excuse
 7
    her for cause.
 8
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 4, Delfina M. Cortez.
 9
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection, we agree.
10
              THE COURT: Next, Mr. Nayback?
11
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 8, Pearl J.
12
    Archuleta.
13
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection, we agree.
14
              THE COURT: Next.
15
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 9, Maryellen B.
    Sanchez.
16
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection, we agree.
18
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 10, Rebecca D.
19
    Schall.
20
              THE COURT: Mr. Elsenheimer?
21
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection.
2.2
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 11, John R.
23
    Schroeder.
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We disagree.
25
              THE COURT: So we will come back to that
```

```
1
    one.
 2
              Next, Mr. Nayback.
 3
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 13, Amanda G.
 4
    Torres-Vazquez.
 5
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection.
 6
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 15, Cory W. Wilhite.
                                 No objection.
 7
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
 8
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 18, Garreth Johnson.
 9
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection.
10
              MR. NAYBACK: Number 20, Rebecca L.
11
    Thomas.
12
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection. (CHECK)
13
              MR. NAYBACK: And finally, Number 21,
    Perla Aranda.
14
15
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: No objection.
16
              THE COURT: All right. We will come back
17
    to Mr. Schroeder in a moment. Let me ask for the
18
    defense challenges for cause, do you have any
19
    additional, Mr. Elsenheimer?
20
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                  Let me
21
    just start off here. We have Alicia -- Number 6,
2.2
    Number 7, Anita Roberts.
23
              MR. NAYBACK: Oppose.
              THE COURT: We will come back to her.
24
25
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We have Michael Bowen,
```

```
Number 14.
 1
 2
              MR. NAYBACK: Oppose.
 3
              THE COURT: Next.
 4
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Number 17, Andrea
    Martin.
 5
 6
              MR. NAYBACK: Oppose.
 7
              THE COURT: Next.
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Number 19, Melverna
 9
    Aquilar.
10
              MR. NAYBACK: Oppose.
11
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Number 22, Darren Jacob
12
    Phillips.
13
              MR. NAYBACK: Oppose.
14
              THE COURT: Any others?
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: I don't believe so.
15
16
              THE COURT: All right. So let's begin,
17
    then, with the Government's motion regarding
    Mr. Schroeder, Juror Number 11.
18
              MR. NAYBACK: Mr. Schroeder said that he
19
20
    works shift work, that it would be very difficult
21
    for him to pay attention and sleep. And the Court
2.2
    pressed him on whether he could get the schedule
23
    changed. He said, "I think they will still make me
    work when I am not here."
24
25
              For those reasons we don't think he that
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
could be a fair and impartial and give us his
attention. He also experienced a trespasser on his
property and said he would be biased against the
Government.
         THE COURT: All right. Your response?
         MR. ELSENHEIMER: Well, with regard to the
work issue, I think he said he could get his hours
covered. He was going to look into that. He
thought, he has heard that that is possible.
         THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to the
bias issue?
         MR. ELSENHEIMER: He said he would
            That is probably not for cause.
sympathize.
         THE COURT: Right. He did say he was
probably, or had used a BB qun or not used it, but
possessed one and he said enough that I am going to
grant the Government's challenge for causes as to
Mr. Schroeder, Juror Number 11. So he will be
excused for cause.
         And then that was your only one that was
challenged as I recall, right, Mr. Nayback?
         MR. NAYBACK: Yes, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Let's turn then to the
defendant's challenges for cause.
         MR. ELSENHEIMER: Starting with Number 7,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
Ms. Roberts. She said that she works the night
shift and she is concerned that given the change in
her schedule she would be tired and sleepy.
also said that, I believe, that her brother-in-law
was shot and as a result it would be difficult to be
fair and impartial.
          THE COURT:
                      Your response, Mr. Nayback.
          MR. NAYBACK:
                        Your Honor, I don't recall
her brother being shot, but it sounded like she
could balance her work and for those reasons,
especially given all the other for cause, in this
panel, we would ask that she be allowed to stay on.
          THE COURT: All right. I don't have a
note about her brother and she did make it sound
like she might be able to get some relief at work,
so I am going to deny the challenge for cause.
          MR. ELSENHEIMER: Did you say you are
denying?
          THE COURT:
                      I will deny the challenge as
to Juror Number 7, Anita Roberts.
          Next.
          MR. ELSENHEIMER: I want to make clear
with regards to that, my notes may be wrong, I
believe she said did her brother-in-law was shot and
fair and impartial would be difficult.
```

```
MR. NAYBACK: Your Honor, I just want to
 1
 2
    point out --
 3
               THE COURT:
                           I thought she had a
    brother-in-law that was in law enforcement.
 4
               (Discussion off the record.)
 5
               THE COURT: We don't have that in our
 6
 7
            We only have the relative who was in law
 8
    enforcement. And the brother-in-law shot was the
 9
    brother-in-law of Number 9, Ms. Sanchez.
10
               That request is denied.
11
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Sorry about that.
12
              Next is Number 14. This is Michael Bowen.
13
    He could not say that he was going to be -- he could
14
    not commit to being fair and impartial.
                                              He said he
15
    would try but it would be difficult. He just
16
    couldn't get to yes as, I think, a prerequisite to
17
    being a fair and impartial juror and having the
18
    ability to say, yes, I can be fair and impartial.
19
    He couldn't commit to that.
20
               THE COURT: Your response, Mr. Nayback?
21
               MR. NAYBACK:
                             I thought Mr. Bowen said
2.2
    that he would try his best to be fair and impartial.
23
    I think that is tantamount to saying yes, he could
24
    be fair and impartial.
25
               The Court talked with him for a bit.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
Mr. Elsenheimer and Ms. Wilson talked with him.
don't think it disqualifies a juror to say that they
have a relative or believes in Government.
think he should be allowed to stay on the jury.
          THE COURT: I am going to grant the
challenge for cause as to Mr. Bowen. He was very
forthright and basically starts with the premise
that the FBI and the United States Government are
institutions that we have to believe in. While that
is all great, he just couldn't say that he could put
aside some of these feelings that he has, and so I
will grant the challenge for cause as to Juror
Number 14, Mr. Bowen.
          Next, Mr. Elsenheimer?
          MR. ELSENHEIMER: Number 17, Andrea
         She said that, I believe it was because she
Martin.
is Native American and that would impact her ability
to be fair and impartial. I'm sorry, the nature of
the case would impact her ability to be fair and
impartial.
          THE COURT: Your response, Mr. Nayback?
          MR. NAYBACK: I do not recall Ms. Martin
saying that she could not be fair and impartial.
believe she would be a juror -- she didn't say a lot
today, but I didn't hear anything that should give
```

```
the Court concern that she can't be fair and
 1
 2
    impartial.
              THE COURT: Well, I am going to grant the
 3
 4
    request as to Ms. Martin she did speak somewhat
 5
    about her parents teaching her about the use of guns
 6
    and she did say it would have an impact on her.
                                                       So
 7
    because she said that, I will grant the defendant's
 8
    request or motion for challenge.
 9
              Next.
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Your Honor, our next one
11
    is Number 18, Melverna Aquilar. I actually stopped
12
    writing down the reasons for the basis for cause.
    She said she would be biased.
13
14
              THE COURT: Mr. Nayback?
15
              MR. NAYBACK: I never heard Ms. Aquilar
16
    say she could not be fair and impartial.
                                               I think
17
    that is the standard. And while she had some
18
    familiarity with homeless people in her backyard and
19
    didn't shoot at them, I don't think that she has met
    the standard that she could be excused for cause.
20
21
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Just to elaborate,
2.2
    Your Honor, I believe she said she couldn't be fair
23
    and impartial because the alleged victim in the case
24
    is a Native woman and that I think she said it would
25
    make her mad. I don't know if she said angry, but
```

it was something like that. 1 THE COURT: She said she felt negative and 2 3 anger as a Native American woman about an Indian 4 woman being the victim in this case. 5 I will grant the defendant's challenge for 6 cause and Ms. Aquilar, Juror Number 19, will be 7 excused. 8 Next. 9 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Your Honor, Number 22, 10 it is the same thing with regard to the Native 11 American aspect of the case, in addition to the fact that he has no or difficult transportation from 12 13 Espanola. And I think he said he doesn't have 14 family that can help him out. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Nayback? 16 MR. NAYBACK: It is ironic that 17 continually try to gat more Native Americans on our 18 jury, but as soon as they identify with Native 19 American issues we get them stricken for cause. 20 sympathize that Mr. Phillips doesn't have a vehicle. 21 I think he would make a good juror. I was hoping 2.2 that the Court would consider or having one of your 23 staff consider explaining that he could be put up in 24 a hotel here in town as long as he could get a ride 25 back and forth from Espanola, but the other things

that he said I don't think disqualifies him as a juror.

2.2

MR. ELSENHEIMER: It is not just that he said he is Native American or that aspect, he said specifically in response to your question, your question who is going to be biased for the defense and that is the question he answered and he said there was a concern that he would be biased for the defense.

want more Native Americans serving as jurors, but the expression of anger is one that maybe tips the balance more on the side of bias. And if they come in here in jury selection and tell me that they are angry about Native American being a victim in this case, I don't know that I have much choice, really.

And he did say he has transportation issues. I tried to see if he could get some help on that he said, no. And I note also he is the one who left the courtroom because he had to go to the bathroom. And did say on the record that he would have a hard time with this case.

For all of those reasons, I will grant the defendant's request for challenge for cause as to Mr. Phillips.

```
Is that everything?
 1
              MR. NAYBACK: From the United States, yes.
 2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: From the defense, yes.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: All right. Let's just compare
 5
    notes for a moment here. The jurors that I show
 6
    that are yet available are Juror Number 1,
 7
    Ms. Sanchez.
 8
              Juror Number 3, Adam Baker.
 9
              Let's see Juror Number 5, Cody Gene
10
    Stevenson.
              Juror Number 6, Alicia Broadhurst.
11
12
              Number 12, Drew Bryant Renner; is that
13
            We didn't talk about Number 7. So we have
    right?
14
    number, all right, I overlooked one. We do have
15
    Juror Number 7, Ms. Roberts.
16
              Let me start again, Number 1; Number 3;
17
    Number 5. We have Number 6. We have Number 7. We
18
    have Number 12, Mr. Renner. We have Number 16,
19
    Mr. McBrayer, and that is it.
20
              Is that what you-all show, too?
21
              MR. NAYBACK: Yes.
2.2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Is there a way we could
23
    bring Number 7 in just to do follow-up questions
24
    about her work and her ability to --
25
              THE COURT: We already covered that.
```

```
So 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 12, 16.
 1
               So what we will come is we will bring
 2
 3
    everybody into the courtroom, I will excuse all but
 4
    the seven that are still alive, I guess.
 5
               (Whereupon the jury panel enters the
 6
    courtroom.)
 7
               (Whereupon the following proceedings were
 8
    held in Open Court.)
 9
               THE COURT: All right. Everyone is here.
10
    We are back on the record. What I am going to do is
11
    I am going to excuse a number of you. The ones who
12
    are not excused, remain in the courtroom, Yvonne
13
    will give you further instructions.
               Those of you who are excused, if you would
14
15
    sit tight until I finish reading the list of names
16
    of people who are excused. Once I excuse you then
17
    you are free to leave and the ones who are going to
18
    stick with us for a while, you're not necessarily on
19
    the jury yet because I have another two sections of
20
    people like this section that I need to go through,
21
    but you are still in the mix, so to speak.
2.2
               So the ones who are excused will be Juror
23
    Number 2, Ms. Prentice; Juror Number 4, Ms. Cortez;
24
    Juror Number 8, Ms. Archuleta; Juror Number 9,
25
    Ms. Sanchez; Juror Number 10, Ms. Schall;
```

```
Juror Number 11, Mr. Schroeder; Juror Number 13,
 1
    Ms. Torres-Vazquez, you're also excused. Juror
 2
 3
    Number 14, Mr. Bowen; Juror Number, or Panel Member
 4
    Number 15, Mr. Wilhite; Number 17, Ms. Martin;
 5
    Number 18, Mr. Johnson; Number 19, Ms. Aquilar;
 6
    number 20, Ms. Thomas; Number 21, Ms. Aranda;
 7
    Number 22, Mr. Phillips.
 8
              So the ones who shall remain are Number 1;
 9
    Number 3; Number 5; Number 6; Number 7; Number 12;
10
    and Number 16.
11
              Okay. So those of you who I have excused,
12
    you may leave the courtroom. I thank you very much
13
    for your participation today.
               (Whereupon excused jury panel members
14
15
    exited the courtroom.)
16
              THE COURT: Please be seated for just a
17
    moment. Yvonne is in the process of handing out the
18
    instructions. Let me just say to you that what we
19
    will do is we will have you come here tomorrow
20
    morning at 9:00. You will wait in the courtroom
21
    across the hall where you were a moment ago.
2.2
              And I am going to -- so I am going to meet
23
    with another group at 1:30, much like you-all.
                                                      Ιf
24
    necessary, then I will meet with another group
25
    tomorrow morning at 9:00.
```

```
Now, my, if we don't have to use all of
 1
 2
    the -- if we don't need any additional jurors after
    we're done this afternoon, which would mean we don't
 3
 4
    have to meet with a third group tomorrow morning, my
 5
    plan would be to begin the opening statements
 6
    tomorrow morning at 9:00. Of course, we don't know
 7
    that yet because we don't have a jury assembled at
 8
    this point.
 9
               So tomorrow morning we will require some
10
    patience on your part because if we do have to have
    another session like this, chances are it could be
11
12
    several hours before we know whether you are on the
13
    jury or not. So be patient with us.
                                           Bring
    something to keep yourselves busy, and thank you for
14
15
    your participation so far in this selection process.
16
               We will see you tomorrow.
17
               We'll be in recess.
18
               (A recess was taken.)
19
               (Whereupon the following proceedings were
20
    held in Open Court.)
21
               (Whereupon the jury panel enters the
2.2
    courtroom.)
23
               THE COURT: Please be seated. Good
24
    afternoon to everyone. We are on the record in the
25
    case of United States of America versus Douglas D.
```

Smith. Case Number is 18-CR-3495.

2.2

Good afternoon to all of you. Welcome to the courthouse today. We are here today to select a jury in a criminal case. This is a criminal case. The case is expected to take four to five days, conclude Thursday or Friday of this week, and so we are going to go through the jury selection process.

This part of the proceeding is called voir dire. What we do here is we, I, the Court and the attorneys will ask you-all a number of questions.

The idea being that we will assemble a jury to hear the case and I will tell you a little bit more about the case in just a moment.

But the Constitution, as you all know, guarantees a right to a jury in matters of law, a jury trial, and our system of justice depends on the willingness of people like you, citizens, to come in and participate in the jury process.

So I want you to know, first of all, that we all appreciate you being here. We all know that you have other things going on in your lives, so the fact that you are here today participating in this process, it means a lot to all of us.

I know that most of you will not have a chance to serve in the judiciary, but if you were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

selected as jurors in this case, you will be judges. You will be judges of the facts in the case. am hoping if you are selected, even if you are not selected to participate in this process today, I am hopeful that you find it to be educational and interesting. If you are selected for the case, I do hope you find it to be a meaningful experience. So my role is the Judge, I've heard other Judges at times refer to, I quess I would say make a comparison to a role of a Judge in a trial much like a traffic officer who tries to keep the traffic flowing. My job is to try to keep the trial flowing smoothly. And so while I don't issue citations for traffic violations, I can certainly make sure that the Rules of Evidence are followed in this case much as an officer might follow the, make sure the rules of the road are followed. So what I will do first is again introduce My name is Judith Herrera. myself. I have been a Judge here in the District of New Mexico for about 17 years, and I will be presiding over this trial. I am going to introduce my other court staff and then I am going to ask you-all if you know any of us, me or the court staff. So I will start at my right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

My court reporter, his name is Paul Baca. He has been a court reporter in the Federal system for a number of years, longer than I have been a Judge, so he is very good at his job. He takes down everything that is said in the courtroom and he makes the official record of any proceedings in my courtroom but he will do the same in this case. Next is my law clerk. Her name is Virginia Loman. Virginia is a lawyer who helps me research legal issues. She, if you are selected for the jury, you will be seeing jury instructions, instructions on the law that the Court will give at the end of the case, and Virginia is the lawyer who helps me put together the final instructions to the jury. Next on my far left is my courtroom deputy. Her name is Yvonne Romero. She is the person who manages my court schedule, so she manages In this case, it is a criminal case and my cases. so she is the one who makes sure you-all are summoned here and she makes sure everything runs smoothly. So if you are selected as a juror in this case, you will see a lot of Yvonne. She is the one who takes roll and answers everybody's questions and

so she will be very helpful to anybody who is selected as a juror in this case.

2.2

So what we are going to do this afternoon is I am going to ask you-all a series of questions and then I am going to give the lawyers an opportunity to ask questions. As I said before, this part is called voir dire. And the purpose of voir dire is to enable the Court and the attorneys to match jurors to cases that they maybe well-suited for.

things. We all have different experiences, and so all of our experiences shape our opinions. So all of us develop likes and dislikes. We all develop things that we may feel we have a bias in favor of something or a bias against something. So this is human nature. Everybody develops likes and dislikes so if it may turn out that a juror would be well-suited for a particular case, you know, we need to know that or if there is some life experience or some relationship or relationship, you know a police officer or anything, anything that might make it more difficult for you to be a juror in a particular case, then these are the things that we need to know. So that is the purpose of this.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

It doesn't mean anybody is a bad person if they are not suited for a particular case, it just means that given your life experience maybe this isn't the case for you. So an example I can give you, this is just a sheer example. Let's say, for example, that this was a bank robbery case. let's just say that you worked as a bank teller and you happened to be at work when your bank was robbed. Well, you might not be the best juror for a bank robbery case because your personal experience might come to play if you were selected as a juror in the case. So that is the reason we need to ask It is not to pry, it is not to embarrass questions. anybody, it is just that there are issues that are involved in any case and so we just need to know that jurors are well-suited for the case. So I will be asking a number of questions. I am trying to avoid the microphones only because it moves things along a little bit quicker. You will notice that there are microphones at the front of your area. And if I have a hard time hearing any of you, I might ask you to go to the microphone. the way I do it is I will ask a number of questions. Typically people will raise their hand if they have an answer to my question and then when I call on

you, I would ask you to stand and give us your name and then answer the question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Again, if we can do it without having to go to the microphone, we will give it a try. But try to remember to speak loudly so we can hear everyone.

Now, if any of you have an answer to my question that concerns a matter that you consider to be private or embarrassing, then ask if you can talk to us in a more private setting. Now private doesn't mean completely private, it means that what I would do is I would wait until the end of all the questioning and then I would have, I would bring the juror who has something to say into the courtroom one at a time, but all the rest of us will still be here. So you would still be speaking to the attorneys, the court reporter would be taking everything down. So it is a more private setting but not really private. I want to alert you to that. But if you need to say something that you think is a little more private, we are happy to accommodate you.

I should say that it is important that everybody in the panel remain in the courtroom while we are doing these questions. If anybody needs a

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

break for any reason, raise your hand and let me know that you need a break. I will be happy to give you a break, but don't just get up and leave the room, because it is important that everybody be here to hear every question and every answer. So I want all of you to hear each other's answers as well. Now, I understand everybody was sworn in before you came into the courtroom, so you-all are sworn and we don't need to swear you in again. So let me tell you just a little bit about the case. Well, first of all, I did say that this case was going to take four to five days, basically the rest of this week. I expect that we will start the case tomorrow. Because of this pandemic, as you can see, you are seated, you're spaced apart. Well, that means that I can't pick a jury the way I normally would, which normally would be 60 people seated in the gallery and we would go through the jury selection process in that manner. But because of all the pandemic precautions that we are required to take, you have to be socially distanced and so I can't do it the way I normally do. So I had to break it up into three groups. So if by some chance after I finish with you-all if by some chance I have enough people

to impanel the jury then we can start the trial 1 2 first thing in the morning. But if I don't have enough I will be doing another session like this 3 4 with another group of people tomorrow morning, which 5 means we wouldn't begin the trial probably until 6 after lunch together. So it will be tomorrow, I 7 just don't know whether we will start the case in 8 the morning or in the afternoon. But in any event I 9 believe we should be done with the case this week. 10 So does that schedule present a problem to 11 anybody? 12 All right. So scheduling I don't see 13 anybody in the jury box. We will go to the back. 14 Let's start with the front row. Is that Ms. Nieto? 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. My name is Teresa 16 Nieto, and the reason why I am not able to attend or 17 be selected for a jury, my place of employment, I 18 work for the Albuquerque Public Schools. I am a 19 night custodian, and I need to lock up the facility. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Nieto, is there anybody 21 who could cover for you if you were selected to 2.2 serve? 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, ma'am. There is 24 only two of us in the facility. I have a head 25 custodian and I, and I am the one that locks up the

```
facility.
 1
              THE COURT: I saw another hand in the
 2
 3
    front row. Is it Mr. Mardo.
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Your Honor, David
 5
    Mardo. I have been asked to accompany some youth on
 6
    a church camp that begins Wednesday and will not be
 7
    over until Saturday.
 8
              THE COURT: And what is your role in the
 9
    church camp?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I will be chaperoning
11
    some youth.
12
              THE COURT: Now if you were selected in
13
    this case, is there someone who could step in for
14
    you?
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: If I am selected I
16
    imagine they could find someone.
17
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Mardo. Right
    behind Mr. Mardo.
18
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Victoria
20
    Bautista, and I am not able to attend because I will
21
    be attending a funeral tomorrow.
              THE COURT: A funeral.
2.2
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
24
              THE COURT: Thank you. That is fine.
25
    Anybody else in that section to my right that has a
```

```
scheduling issue this week?
 1
              All right. Let's go across the aisle.
 2
 3
    Anybody on your right side, my left side that has a
 4
    conflict, in the way back?
 5
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Anthony
 6
    Montes and my son has a doctor's appointment on
 7
    Wednesday.
 8
              THE COURT: Now is there anyone else who
 9
    can --
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, ma'am. I am a
11
    single dad. I ain't got nobody at home.
12
              THE COURT: One more question. Is there
13
    any way that the appointment could be rescheduled?
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, ma'am. I have been
15
    waiting for a while with COVID and stuff.
16
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Montes.
17
              Anybody else with scheduling issues?
18
              THE COURT: All right. I don't see
19
    anybody else with issues.
20
              So this is a criminal case and the
21
    Government has charged the defendant, Mr. Douglas
2.2
    Smith, as follows: The Grand Jury charges on or
23
    about May 5, 2018 in Indian Country in Rio Arriba
24
    County, in the District of New Mexico, the defendant
25
    Douglas D. Smith, a non-Indian unlawfully killed
```

Jane Doe, an Indian, with malice aforethought. 1 Now, that is the Indictment. I want to 2 3 let you know that an Indictment is not a conviction. 4 An Indictment is not evidence. The Indictment is 5 the charge, the charge brought by the Government. 6 So my question to you, is there anybody here who 7 just by virtue of the Indictment believes that the 8 defendant must be quilty? And there are no hands 9 raised, okay. 10 Next, I will introduce the defendant to you, the defendant, Douglas Smith. If you would 11 12 stand, please, Mr. Smith. 13 Is there anybody on the jury panel who 14 knows Mr. Smith? 15 I see no hands. 16 You may take your seat, Mr. Smith. 17 I will introduce the others at the table 18 in just a moment. But let me ask you, I am going to 19 want to know whether any of you have heard anything 20 about this case. So you heard the Indictment. 21 I will tell you, to just help jog your memory in 2.2 case you might have heard something about this case, 23 this is something that occurred in or near the City 24 of Espanola. It was at a motel the defendant 25 resided at called the Western Winds Motel on

Riverside Drive in Espanola, New Mexico. 1 So having heard all of this, does anybody 2 3 recall hearing anything about this case? And, 4 again, it was on or about May 5, 2018. 5 I see no hands. 6 So next I will introduce the people at 7 counsel table. The Government is represented by 8 prosecutors, and the acting United States Attorney, 9 his name a Fred Federici. He is not present in the 10 courtroom, but he is in charge of the office. 11 the case is actually being prosecuted by two 12 Assistant United States Attorneys. One is Novalene 13 Wilson. Does anybody know Novalene Wilson? And next to her is Kyle Nayback. Does 14 15 anybody know Kyle Nayback? 16 I did mention Fred Federici as the acting 17 U.S. Attorney. Does anybody know Mr. Federici? 18 No hands. 19 Also with the prosecutors at the table is 20 FBI Agent Travis Taylor. Does anybody know 21 Mr. Taylor? 2.2 Let's turn to the defense side of the 23 room. Mr. Smith is represented by attorney Aric 24 Elsenheimer. Does anybody know Mr. Elsenheimer? 25 All right. Also helping him is Attorney

```
Amanda Lavin. Anybody know Ms. Lavin?
 1
 2
              And Mr. Dan Berg. Anybody know Mr. Berg?
 3
                           Thank you. Next, I want to
              All right.
 4
    know whether any of you know any of the potential
 5
    witnesses in this case. So what I will do next is
 6
    ask the attorneys to tell you-all who their
 7
    potential witnesses may be.
 8
              And, Ms. Wilson, if you could go slowly so
 9
    that if anybody recognizes a name, they will have
10
    time to raise their hand before you move on to the
11
    next name.
12
              MS. WILSON: First Espanola Police
13
    Department Detective Byron Abeyta; FBI Photographer,
    Tammy Peter; OMI Field Deputy Medical Investigator
14
15
    Lynne Gudes, gudes with a G; Dr. Matthew Cain; FBI
16
    Special Agent Bryan Acee; FBI Physical Scientist and
17
    Forensic Examiner Theodore Chavez; FBI Photographer
    Nathan Schwabedissen; Geraldine Gutierrez; Derrick
18
19
    De La Cruz; and Daniel Smith.
20
              THE COURT: There were no hands raised.
21
    Thank you.
2.2
              Mr. Elsenheimer, can you let the jury know
23
    who your potential witnesses may be.
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Certainly, Your Honor.
25
              Good afternoon.
                                Does anyone know Ercilia
```

Trujillo? 1 Does anyone know Corina Titus? 2 3 Judy Wheat, Billie Wheat, and lastly 4 Espanola Police Officer Albert Rael. 5 Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. Again, I saw no 7 hands go up. 8 Now, next I want to ask you a number of questions that bear on some of the facts that may or 9 10 may not come out in this case. It is important that 11 I know and the attorneys know how you feel about 12 certain things. 13 So I told you what the charge is. there may be evidence that the defendant was 14 15 awakened at 1:00 in the morning and believed there 16 was a trespasser on his property and fired his gun 17 to scare the trespasser away. 18 So with all of those facts and, again, you 19 have not heard any evidence. So you are not to make 20 any decisions about quilt or innocence at this 21 point. You have heard no evidence because my 2.2 question for you, and I am just going to ask for a 23 show of hands at this point. Do any of you own 24 guns? Just a show of hands if you own guns. So I 25 see a few hands, all right.

Now, again you have not heard any 1 2 evidence. You have not heard any testimony at all, 3 but just based on what you have heard so far, do any 4 of you have any strong feelings yet that you want to 5 bring to the Court's attention, whether it is 6 pro-Government, anti-Government, pro-defendant, 7 anti-defendant? I may ask you that question again 8 later, but just based on what you have heard so far. It looks like nothing has raised any flags that you 9 10 want to bring to our are attention. 11 Now, have any of you or any member of your 12 family or close friends ever been employed by a law 13 enforcement agency? And when I say law enforcement 14 agency, I mean anything, police, sheriff, FBI, any 15 kind of agency. And if you have any question at all 16 in your mind, let us know and we will let you know 17 whether or not this is what I am considering to be a 18 law enforcement agency. I saw several hands. 19 Ms. Romero. 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: My husband is a police officer for 20 years. He is Chief of Police in 21 2.2 Bernalillo and SID and Academy. 23 THE COURT: All right. So you, did you 24 say he is retired? 25 POTENTIAL JUROR: Just now, yeah.

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So is it
    fair to say that you know a number of other people
 2
    who are also involved in law enforcement?
 3
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
 5
              THE COURT: Let me ask you, Ms. Romero, if
 6
    you were selected as a juror in this case, do you
    think you would tend to favor the law enforcement
 7
 8
    side of the case over the defense side or do you
 9
    think you can be completely neutral and fair and
10
    impartial?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I think I can be
12
    impartial.
13
                          Well, you say, "I think."
              THE COURT:
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know, you know,
14
15
    circumstances, how do you know?
                                      It is like I can't
16
    make a decision now because I haven't heard the
17
    case. I was with a law enforcement person for
    40 years.
18
19
              THE COURT: Let me ask you this:
                                                 If you
20
    listened to the case and you decided that the
21
    Government had not met its burden of proof beyond a
2.2
    reasonable doubt, do you think you would have any
23
    difficulty finding in favor of the defendant,
24
    finding the defendant not guilty?
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No, I don't think I
```

```
1
    would have a problem.
              THE COURT: All right. Would you have any
 2
 3
    concern about facing your husband and his friends?
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
 5
              THE COURT: All right, okay. Thank you,
 6
    Ms. Romero. And I saw another hand. Is that
 7
    Ms. Nieto?
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
    cousin, she was with the Sheriff's Department, but
10
    she is no longer with us.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. So is there anything
12
    about her experience in law enforcement that you
13
    think might affect you or impact your decisions?
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No. No, ma'am.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
16
    Ms. Nieto.
17
              And next I will hear from, is it
18
    Mr. Trujillo?
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. My girlfriend and
20
    friend for a long time, for about ten years.
21
              THE COURT: I am having a little trouble
2.2
    hearing you.
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Girlfriend and a friend
24
    who was a police officer for ten years.
25
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Which agency?
```

```
POTENTIAL JUROR: UNM PD.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: And so you heard my previous
 3
                Is there anything about your friendship
    questions.
 4
    with these people that you think could have any
 5
    impact at all on your decision-making in this case?
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Impartial. The same,
 7
    impartial.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Impartial. Okay.
                                              Thank you.
 9
    And in the back, Mr. Kistler.
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Jesse Kistler. I have a
11
    very close friend, he is with Scottsdale Sheriff's
12
    Department out of Nebraska, so not even anywhere
13
    close to this area. And, no, I will be completely
    impartial.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. You could be fair
16
    to both sides?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kistler.
19
              Anybody else in that section of the
20
    courtroom?
21
              Let me go across the hall. I will start
2.2
    on the far end is, it Mr. Blue-Sky.
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, that is me.
                                                    Му
    dad was a State Police Officer for 25-plus years.
24
25
    He just retired last year.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. And so tell me
 1
 2
    what you think if you were selected in this case, do
 3
    you think you would be influenced at all by the fact
 4
    that your father was a State Police Officer for so
 5
    many years?
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, not at all.
              THE COURT: All right. If you thought the
 7
 8
    Government had not met its burden of proof, you
 9
    could go visit your dad and tell him you voted not
10
    quilty on a criminal case?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah.
12
              THE COURT: You would be able to do that?
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah.
14
              THE COURT: Very good. Thank you,
    Mr. Blue-Sky.
15
16
              And then going to Mr. Rivera.
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Your Honor.
18
    represented police officers in the past as a lawyer,
19
    so --
20
              THE COURT: All right. You are an
21
    attorney here in Albuquerque?
2.2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Very recently, yes.
23
              THE COURT: Congratulations. So you
24
    represented law enforcement?
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, State Police
```

Officers. 1 2 THE COURT: I got to ask you the same 3 questions. If you were selected as a juror in this 4 case having represented police officers do you think 5 your sympathies would lie with police officers? 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 7 THE COURT: All right. You could evaluate 8 both sides of the case fairly? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And render a decision even if you felt the evidence supported it in favor of the 11 12 defendant? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rivera. 14 15 Anybody else in the far back? 16 Hold on. I am having difficulty hearing 17 I know you have your mask on, so maybe you 18 should go to the microphone or try it without, go 19 ahead. 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Lisa Finch 21 and my sister and her husband are both New York City Police Officers. 2.2 23 THE COURT: All right. So the same 24 questions, do you think you would be influenced at 25 all by the fact that you have family members who are

```
in law enforcement?
 1
 2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, ma'am.
              THE COURT: All right. And again you
 3
    could be fair to both sides?
 4
 5
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
 6
              THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Finch.
 7
              Is there anyone else who either yourselves
    or your family members or close friends have worked
 8
 9
    in law enforcement?
10
              Have any of you ever been involved in a
11
    criminal matter in any court that concerned either
12
    yourself or members of your family or a close friend
13
    as a defendant or a witness or a victim?
14
              So have any of you had any involvement in
15
    any criminal case? And let's go to, is it
16
    Ms. Black?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Your Honor,
18
    Ms. Black. My homeless daughter was charged with a
19
    Federal in the state of Arizona.
20
              THE COURT: Charged, did you say Federal?
21
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
2.2
              THE COURT: And how far along in the
23
    process is your daughter's case?
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It was -- she did her
25
           She did 30 days. It was back in March, April
    time.
```

```
of 2020.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. What was she charged
 3
    with?
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I believe it was with
 5
    the Border Patrol. She helped bring immigrants
 6
    over.
 7
              THE COURT: I see. Okay. Was there
    anything about your daughter's situation that struck
 8
 9
    you as unfair, wrong, anything at all about that
10
    process that bothered you?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Just, I guess when she
12
    was profiled.
13
              THE COURT: Profiled. All right.
                                                  Let me
14
    ask you this: Now this case has nothing to do with
15
    border-type issues. Do you think if you were
16
    selected as a juror in this case that your
17
    daughter's situation would play any role in your
18
    thinking?
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No.
20
              THE COURT: You think you could put all of
21
    that aside and evaluate this case based on the
2.2
    evidence that is presented here?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. And be fair to both
25
    sides of the case?
```

```
POTENTIAL JUROR:
 1
                                Yes.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Black.
 3
              Anybody else in the back corner?
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Kara Komula.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And
 6
    what happened here?
 7
              POTENTIAL JUROR: So the case never went
 8
    to trial, but an individual struck my car, so I was
 9
    a victim in this case. And that is all that
10
    happened. We didn't end up going to trial because
    we settled out of court.
11
12
              THE COURT: Do you think that there is
13
    anything about that experience that would weigh on
14
    your mind if you were selected in this case?
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                No.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
17
              Anybody else?
18
              I will ask next a question -- I just asked
19
    about a criminal matter, a proceeding. I am going
20
    to ask you a little more general question.
21
              Have any of you ever been a victim of a
2.2
    crime? Anybody been a victim of a crime? One hand,
23
    Ms. Reinhard.
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. Bridget Reinhard.
25
    I was a victim of rape and sexual assault.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay. Sorry to hear that.
 2
    How long ago was this?
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: About three years ago.
 4
              THE COURT: And did, was there a criminal
 5
    charge filed against anybody, any prosecution?
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Unfortunately not.
 7
              THE COURT: Was law enforcement called?
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It was after some time,
 9
    then I let the police know, but it took awhile
10
    because it took awhile to process what had happened.
11
              THE COURT: So was there anything about
12
    the way your case was handled that you disagreed
13
    with or was it handled in a way that left you maybe
14
    unhappy with law enforcement?
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Is there anything about your
17
    situation that you think would weigh on you or have
18
    any impact on you if you were selected in this case?
19
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I have since worked
20
    through it, so I don't believe it would affect this
21
    case.
2.2
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
23
    Ms. Reinhard.
24
              Is there anyone else who has been a victim
25
    of a crime?
```

```
Ms. Komula.
 1
              POTENTIAL JUROR: So it was the same case
 2
    that I previously spoke of.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 5
              Ms. Romero.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Katherine Romero. I was
 6
 7
    held up at gunpoint. Nothing was ever done with it,
 8
    they never found the person. I have no problem.
 9
              THE COURT: No problem.
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: With law enforcement or
11
    the way they handled it.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. So is there anything
13
    about your personal situation, as you say, being
14
    held up at gunpoint, is there anything about that,
15
    that you think might weigh on you if you were a
16
    juror in this case and this case involved the use of
17
    a gun and someone shot?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I don't believe I
18
19
    would have a problem.
20
              THE COURT: All right. Okay.
                                              Thank you,
21
    Ms. Romero.
2.2
              Anybody else who has been the victim of a
23
    crime?
24
              Have any of you ever worked for an
25
    attorney or a legal office, and I know we have an
```

```
1
    attorney.
 2
               Ms. Finch, did you raise your hand and I
 3
    missed it?
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I wasn't sure about
 4
 5
    residential burglary if that counts.
 6
               THE COURT: It does.
 7
               So residential burglary and theft?
 8
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
 9
               THE COURT: Again, I have trouble hearing
10
          If you want to keep your mask on, you're
11
    welcome to do so, but go to the microphone.
12
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Residential burglary and
13
    theft from my home.
               THE COURT: All right. Now were charges
14
15
    ever filed in that case?
16
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I believe so but we were
17
    kind of held back because the suspects had been in a
    foot chase with the officers was what I heard later
18
19
    on and they were charged for that more than they
20
    were charged from my burglary because that is
21
    obviously more important.
2.2
               THE COURT: Was there anything about the
23
    way your case was handled that you were unhappy
24
    about?
25
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No, the officers did
```

```
what they could do.
 1
              THE COURT: All right. It sounded to me
 2
 3
    like you were not home when this happened.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I wasn't home for the
 4
 5
    beginning of it. I did walk in on them and they got
 6
    away.
 7
              THE COURT: So is there anything about
 8
    your situation that you think might have any impact
 9
    on you if you are listening to the evidence in this
10
    case?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Finch.
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My name is Joanne LeFrak
14
    and I have also been burglarized.
15
              THE COURT: All right. And were you home
16
    during the burglary?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I was not home.
18
              THE COURT: And were charges filed against
19
    anybody?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I just filed a
21
    police report.
2.2
              THE COURT: All right. Anything about
23
    your situation that you think could have any impact
24
    on you in this case?
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
```

```
THE COURT: You could be fair to both
 1
 2
    sides?
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
 4
              THE COURT: Anybody else is the victim of
 5
    any kind of crime?
 6
              Have any of you ever worked for an
 7
    attorney or in a legal office? Now, I know you are
 8
    an attorney, Mr. Rivera, so I know about you, but I
 9
    see another hand.
10
              Ms. Black.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I worked for the child
11
12
    support office.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Have you worked in
14
    your -- does your job at child support lead to you
15
    having any interactions with any kind of law
16
    enforcement agencies?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Just the person that
    serves the service.
18
19
              THE COURT: Process servers?
20
              Okay. Again, I am assuming, you tell me
21
    if I am wrong, that there is not anything about your
2.2
    job working in a legal department that would cause
23
    you to favor one side over the other?
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                No.
25
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Thank you, Ms. Black.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

24

25

```
Have any of you or anyone close to you,
    family member, friend, ever fired a shot to scare
    off an intruder or an animal? Any of you or any of
    your friends, family members, anybody close to you.
              One hand.
              Ms. Nieto.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, my son-in-law he
    does carry guns and that is his hobby. But there
    was a bunch of ground hogs at my mom's house so he
    was trying to get rid of the ground hogs.
              THE COURT: Was any, as far as you know,
    did he actually shoot any animals?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, not that I know of.
    I know he goes hunting and stuff like that for like
    turkeys and stuff like that.
              THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Nieto.
              Anyone else? I see one more hand
18
    Mr. Blue-Sky.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My uncle back at the
    Pueblo almost got attacked by a cougar, and I had to
    fire a couple of rounds to scare him. And he is
2.2
    doing fine, though.
23
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Blue-Sky.
              Anybody else? I don't see any other
    hands.
```

```
Now a slightly different question.
 1
 2
    any of you or anyone close to you ever been shot at?
 3
               I do see one hand.
 4
              Mr. Trujillo.
 5
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I got shot in the
 6
    face in a random shooting.
 7
               THE COURT: A random shooting?
 8
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, kids.
 9
               THE COURT: Did you require medical
10
    attention, I would assume.
11
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, absolutely.
12
               THE COURT: And were the shooters ever
13
    caught?
14
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 No.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Now, having been
16
    shot do you feel like your personal situation might
17
    come into play somehow in this case if you were
18
    selected as a juror?
19
               POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't think there was
20
    any reason for them to shoot me.
21
               THE COURT: So it sounds like you are
2.2
    saying that the --
23
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, possibly because I
24
    don't feel like I should have been shot in that
25
    scenario.
```

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, 1 2 Mr. Trujillo. 3 Anybody else who has been shot at? 4 Now a couple of you have already answered 5 this question, at least in part, but the question 6 is, have any of you had any experience involving 7 either yourself or a family member or close friend 8 that relates to having a trespasser or an intruder 9 on your property. 10 Now some of you have already talked about 11 burglaries, so you don't have to tell me about that, 12 again. 13 I see one hand. Ms. LeFrak. POTENTIAL JUROR: Joanne LeFrak. 14 15 have been trespassers on my property and I called 16 the police. The trespassers left before the police 17 arrived. 18 THE COURT: Are these trespassers that you 19 saw? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. Somebody was 21 ringing by doorbell in a violent way in the middle 2.2 of the night. I live alone and I called the police. 23 THE COURT: Now is there anything, again, 24 the same question. Anything about that experience 25 that you think might weigh into your decision-making

```
in this case if you were a juror?
 1
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
 2
                                 No.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. LeFrak.
 4
              Anyone else?
 5
              Ms. Reinhard.
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, recently about a
 7
    month ago someone took my paper mail from my mailbox
 8
    and I saw it when I was far away.
 9
              THE COURT: Did you have any reaction?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: First I thought it was
11
    my neighbor's friend and then after that I realized
12
    it was my mail and I just filed a report.
13
              THE COURT: Did anything come of it?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Nothing came of it.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. Again, would that
16
    weigh on you at all if you were selected here?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It wouldn't weigh on me.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Again, be fair to
19
    both sides?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes.
21
              THE COURT: Very good. Thank you,
2.2
    Ms. Reinhard.
23
              Anyone else?
24
              That reminds me actually, between the
25
    Kleenex and the face mask. I want to just say that
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

our policy here is if you-all have been vaccinated and we all have been vaccinated, so if you have been vaccinated then, of course, I don't require you to wear a face mask. If you choose to, that is perfectly fine, but I want you to know that as long as you are vaccinated you don't need to wear a face mask. so when you see us without a face mask that is because we all have been vaccinated. I want to mention a couple of things here. Now, in any criminal case the Government bears the burden of proof. And the Government needs to prove a defendant quilty beyond a reasonable doubt. And so I want to make sure that you-all agree that it is the Government who bears the burden of proof in a criminal case and not the defendant. Does anybody here think that the defendant needs to prove his innocence? Okay, I see no hands. So, again, the Government bears the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. And reasonable doubt does not mean beyond all doubt. It does not mean beyond a shadow of a doubt. It means beyond a reasonable doubt, which basically means a doubt based on

reason, common sense, essentially.

So can everyone agree that the Government 1 2 has the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt 3 and not beyond all doubt or not beyond a shadow of a doubt? Does everybody agree with that? 5 I see no hands, so it looks like everybody 6 agrees. 7 Another important Constitutional right 8 that we all have is the Fifth Amendment right not to 9 testify at trial. And if someone chooses to 10 exercise their right not to testify, there can be no 11 inference of quilt. In other words, if a defendant 12 decides to exercise the Constitutional right not to 13 testify, we should not make any kind of assumption or inference that that person must be guilty, 14 15 otherwise they would testify. 16 Does anybody disagree that the defendant 17 has the right not to testify? Does anybody disagree with that? 18 19 Does everyone agree that if a defendant chooses not to testify that there should be no 20 21 thought that the defendant must be quilty? Does 2.2 everybody agree with that? 23 All right. I don't see any hands so let 24 me make sure everybody understands the question. 25 you agree that the defendant has the right not to

```
testify and that we do not assume or infer that he
 1
 2
    must be quilty, if you agree with that, raise your
 3
    hand.
 4
              I can tell that I didn't ask a very good
 5
    question. I am going to clarify.
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Can I clarify?
 7
              THE COURT: Yes.
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: You are saying if he
 9
    doesn't talk it doesn't mean that you get to lay the
10
    blame on him, is that what you're saying?
11
              THE COURT:
                           That is pretty much, yeah.
12
              POTENTIAL JUROR: So I agree with that.
13
              THE COURT: So do you agree that if
14
    somebody chooses not to testify, do you agree that
15
    we should not assume that he must be quilty?
16
    Anybody? Does anybody disagree with that raise your
17
    hand if you think that somebody must be quilty if
18
    they don't testify.
19
              All right. So got it. All of you agree,
20
    then, that a person who does not testify is not
21
    assumed guilty.
2.2
              I told you already that this case involves
23
    a charge of second-degree murder, so some of the
24
    evidence that is presented in this case maybe a bit
25
    graphic. Do any of you feel like you would have a
```

```
problem sitting as a juror in this case if it
 1
 2
    involves viewing graphic visual evidence and hearing
 3
    graphic testimony? Do any of you feel that you
    couldn't do that?
 4
 5
              All right. I see no hands.
 6
              All right. Let me ask if anybody needs a
 7
    break yet. Anybody need a break?
 8
               I see two hands, so we will take a break.
    Don't go too far, but feel free to stand up and
 9
10
    stretch your legs, walk outside a little bit, if you
11
    feel you need to. I mean outside the courtroom, not
12
    outside the building. Just a few minutes. We will
13
    be back soon.
                    Thank you.
14
               (Whereupon the jury panel exits the
15
    courtroom.)
               (A recess was taken.)
16
17
               (Whereupon the jury panel enters the
18
    courtroom.)
19
               THE COURT: Please be seated.
20
               I believe when we broke I was asking if
21
    anybody would have any trouble with viewing graphic
2.2
    evidence or listening to graphic testimony and
23
    nobody raised their hand, but I want to make sure I
24
    didn't overlook anybody.
25
               I don't see any hands.
```

```
Have any of you ever served as a juror in
 1
 2
    either a criminal or civil case, any jury
 3
    experience?
 4
              Mr. Rodriquez.
 5
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Steve Rodriguez. It was
 6
    a DUI case.
 7
              THE COURT: And did the jury deliberate to
 8
    a decision?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes.
10
              THE COURT: What was the result?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Not guilty.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Which court was that
13
    in? Was that in Albuquerque?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It was here. I think it
14
15
    was in metropolitan.
16
              THE COURT: Was there anything about your
17
    experience as a juror, whether it was unpleasant or
18
    fantastic, I mean, anything that you think would
19
    spill over into this case and affect you one way or
20
    the other if you were selected as a juror?
21
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, ma'am.
2.2
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23
              Anybody else have any prior jury service?
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Iliana Velasquez.
                                                     Ι
25
    attended Metro Court for a DUI.
```

```
THE COURT: And what was the result of
 1
    that trial?
 2
 3
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Guilty.
 4
              THE COURT: And how long ago was your jury
 5
    duty?
 6
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Maybe 2015.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Was there anything
 8
    about your jury service in that case that bothered
    you or affected you in any way that you think might
 9
10
    affect you here?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
12
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
13
    Ms. Velasquez.
14
              Anybody else have prior jury service?
15
              Mr. Blue-Sky.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know if this
16
17
    counts, I was here about a month ago but I wasn't
    selected for the case.
18
19
              THE COURT: You were here in front of
20
    another Judge?
21
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, it was a different
2.2
    Judge.
23
              THE COURT: Was it also a criminal case?
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: No, it wasn't.
25
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
```

1 Mr. Blue-Sky. Is it Mr. Mardo? 2 3 Yes, a couple of times POTENTIAL JUROR: 4 locally in Tucumcari I have served on juries. It's 5 been a few years, maybe a decade or two now, so I 6 don't remember particulars. But one was a civil 7 case for a young man who had been killed riding his 8 motorcycle. And that wasn't the case, but following 9 the family sued some of the local bars for damages 10 and we, as a jury, voted affirmative that they 11 needed to compensate the family. 12 And I thought I was the voice of reason in 13 that jury because they were asking for an insane 14 amount of money. I didn't feel -- so there was a 15 little bit, it left a little bit of a sour feeling. 16 THE COURT: Did you come away from that 17 experience feeling that the system had been fair? 18 How did you feel about it? How would you describe 19 the reason for the sour taste in your mouth? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, I was one alone 21 that felt that the jury as a group was deciding to 2.2 allot a whole bunch of money, millions of dollars to 23 this family. I didn't feel that, though the parties 24 were quilty, that that was too much to suggest and 25 so I argued that quite a bit and it didn't make a

difference. 1 2 THE COURT: Did you come away from that 3 thinking, "I don't want to be on a jury anymore"? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 5 THE COURT: All right. If you were 6 selected here would that service --7 POTENTIAL JUROR: Probably not, no. 8 THE COURT: It would not weigh on you at 9 all? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 11 THE COURT: Anybody else with prior jury 12 service? 13 Do any of you have any kind of a personal 14 situation that would prevent you from concentrating 15 on what is going on in this case or remembering 16 testimony that you have heard? When I say anything 17 going on in your personal lives, it could be anything. It could be work, for example, working 18 19 the night shift which we heard about. It could be 20 taking medications that might affect your memory or 21 your ability to focus. It could be some sort of a 2.2 worry, stressful situation in part of your life that 23 is going on that might interfere with your ability 24 to focus on this case. Anything, is there anything 25 going on that you think would interfere with your

```
ability to focus on this case if you were selected
 1
 2
    as a juror?
 3
              I see one hand.
                               Is it, Mr. Hayes?
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I had a stroke
 4
 5
    about three years ago and blood clots. It has
 6
    messed up my shoulder and my brain, and I have a
 7
    hard time focusing a lot of times.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. So you have
 9
    trouble focusing and you have short term memory
10
    issues?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I remember stuff
12
    50 years ago and I can't remember stuff yesterday.
13
              THE COURT: I have the same problem.
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know if it is
15
    age or the stroke.
16
              THE COURT: I am glad you have a good
17
    attitude about it all. Before you sit down, let me
18
    ask you, I mean, you know your situation. Is it
19
    such that you think it could have an impact on your
20
    ability to serve in this case?
21
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
2.2
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
23
    Mr. Hayes.
24
              Anybody else?
25
              Ms. Black.
```

```
POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't have a condition
 1
 2
    or anything, I just don't have a great memory.
 3
    will write notes that may be able to help, but I
 4
    can't recall a lot of things.
 5
              THE COURT: Well, I don't know you, so I
 6
    am relying on you to tell me. Is it the type of
 7
    situation where you think you can serve as a juror
 8
    if you are allowed to take notes? You tell me.
              POTENTIAL JUROR: If I am allowed to take
 9
10
    notes, yeah, I am sure I can.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
12
    Ms. Black.
13
              And Ms. Reinhard.
14
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I am diagnosed with
15
    ADHD, but I take the medication for it. I was just
16
    on that note and if I could take some notes because
17
    that helps with my ability to be as present as I can
18
    possibly be.
19
              THE COURT: I will allow anybody on the
20
    jury who cares, who wishes, who wants to, to take
21
    notes.
2.2
              All right. Thank you. Anybody else?
23
              Mr. Blue-Sky.
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I am just worried
25
    about work. I am trying to put on as many hours as
```

```
I am going to be moving from Santa Fe to
 1
    I can.
 2
    Albuquerque in the next month. I am worrying about
 3
    money right now.
 4
              THE COURT: Where do you work?
 5
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Over at Santa Fe in
 6
    Target.
              THE COURT: I should have quessed.
 7
 8
              All right. So you are putting in a lot of
 9
    hours?
10
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, trying to put as
11
    much as I can so I could have as much money so I can
12
    move down here.
13
              THE COURT: And when is it that you are
14
    moving?
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It would be next month,
16
    the end of July.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
18
    Mr. Blue-Sky.
19
              Anybody else?
20
              At the end of the case, at the end of the
21
    trial, I instruct the jury on the law that the jury
2.2
    must follow. You-all listen, the jury listens to
23
    the testimony, reviews the exhibits and the jury
    decides what the facts are. You know, anytime there
24
25
    are two sides in disputes, the jury decides what the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

facts in the case are. So as the judge of the facts, you make that decision based on the law that I, as the Judge on the case, will instruct you. I give you instructions on the law that you need to follow in your analysis of the facts. So my question to you is, do you all feel you can follow the law and the Court's instructions to you even if you disagree with the law? Can you follow the law as the Court instructs you? I am not seeing anybody raise their hand in disagreement, so everyone can follow the Court's instructions. So having heard the questions that I have asked you, does anything else come to mind, even if it is something I did not ask you about, is there anything that comes to your mind that would suggest that you should, you could not sit as a juror on this case and render a fair verdict based on the evidence presented to you and follow the Court's instructions? Anything at all that comes to mind that you might not be a fair and impartial juror in this case? I don't see any hands. Let me doublecheck my notes, I think I am about done. So I am done with my questions. What I am

going to do is I am going to give the attorneys a

```
little bit of time to ask you any follow-up
 1
 2
    questions that they may have for you or ask you a
 3
    few other questions.
 4
               Before I turn the podium over to the
 5
    attorneys, let me talk to counsel for just a moment,
 6
    please, in our little corner over there.
               (Whereupon a Bench discussion was held
 7
 8
    outside the hearing of the jury.)
 9
               THE COURT: So 15 minutes works?
10
              MS. WILSON: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: Anything, any new stuff that
12
    we need to talk about?
13
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: There was one question
14
    that you asked of the last panel that you didn't ask
15
    of this panel. I can't remember exactly how you
16
    phrased it, given the facts that you discussed, is
17
    there anybody who would be biased against the
18
    prosecution. And you also asked --
19
               THE COURT: I did ask that, didn't I, the
20
    first time.
21
               MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Yeah.
2.2
               THE COURT: Yes, I can do that.
23
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: And then maybe you asked
24
    this, but maybe a question about medical background
25
    and any medical issues that people might have.
```

```
MS. LAVIN: There are a few people that
 1
 2
    have not spoken up that indicate on their
 3
    questionnaire indicating they didn't have the
 4
    vaccines.
 5
              THE COURT: Are you saying that you want
 6
    me to ask about the COVID issue specifically?
              MS. LAVIN: If there is any medical issue
 7
 8
    that you can't participate.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          I mean, I thought I basically
10
    gave them an opportunity.
11
              MR. NAYBACK: You did a catchall.
12
              MS. WILSON: I don't think so. I just
13
    have a little bit of follow-up very similar to the
14
    last time, so --
15
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Are you going to ask the
16
    question about bias?
17
              THE COURT: Yes, I will.
18
               (Whereupon the following proceedings were
19
    held in Open Court.)
20
                          So before I turn it over to
              THE COURT:
21
    the attorneys, let me make sure I am clear on one
2.2
    thing. You-all have heard, again, what I said to
23
    you so far is not evidence. I am doing my best to
24
    try to just touch on some issues that may come up in
25
    the case, so I want to get a read on how you feel
```

about some of these issues. 1 So even though what I have said today is 2 3 not evidence, based on what I have said, do any of 4 you feel like you have any feeling for or against 5 the Government because of the nature of the charge 6 and the information that I have discussed with you 7 here this afternoon? 8 Do any of you feel that you might be 9 biased against the Government? 10 I see no hands. 11 Now, I am going to ask you the flip side 12 of that question. Again, based on what I have said 13 and the questions that I have asked you, do any of 14 you have any feeling for or against the defendant 15 based on the nature of the charge here? 16 Do any of you feel any kind of a bias 17 against the defendant based on these charges? 18 All right. I see no hands. 19 With that, then, let me turn to the 20 Government first. 21 Ms. Wilson. 2.2 MS. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 Good afternoon. My name is Novalene 24 Wilson, and with my colleague Mr. Kyle Nayback, we 25 will be responsible for presenting the evidence for

```
the charges against the defendant, Mr. Douglas
 1
 2
    Smith.
 3
              And we have already talked a little bit
 4
    about this, but the Judge did ask who were gun
 5
    owners.
             And just by show of hands, if I could have
 6
    those folks raise their hands again. Thank you.
 7
              Of those folks who have owned guns,
 8
    presumably shotquns, are you familiar with what the
 9
    rules of gun safety are? Great. Anybody feel like
10
    chiming up what you know of the rules of gun safety?
11
              What is your experience with it? Are you
12
    familiar with what they are? Do you know them?
                                                      You
13
    know, never point your gun at something you are not
    prepared to destroy, those kinds of things.
14
15
    have any experience, feelings related to that?
16
              Your juror number?
17
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I am Juror Number 30.
                                                        Ι
18
    have taught the kids what to do, what not to do.
19
    Shooting in a shooting range. As I said before, my
20
    husband has been a police officer for a long time.
21
              MS. WILSON:
                            Thank you. Anybody else?
2.2
    Your juror number?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR: 29.
24
                            29, yes.
              MS. WILSON:
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR: My husband owns a big
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

amount of shotguns. My husband owns a great amount of guns and we are fully armed in our house, but we also know at my house and he has taught me and everything that I need to know about guns not to point and everything. MS. WILSON: Thank you. Of those folks who are familiar with gun safety, anybody hear, Always be sure of your target and what is behind it. You have also heard that? Anybody have any opinions on that, that they would like to share with us. is that important? Could I ask you, Mr. Mardo, I did see you That rule, Always knowing your target and what is behind it. Why is that important? POTENTIAL JUROR: Because you could miss the target and shoot something behind it or the bullet could go through the target and hit something behind it. MS. WILSON: Thank you. If I could just follow-up with you, Mr. Trujillo, Number 36. wanted to be sure, the Judge talked to you about your experiences with being shot and I'm sorry to hear that. I just wanted to confirm that you could be fair to both sides, both the defense and the prosecution in this case?

```
POTENTIAL JUROR: I guess knowing what you
 1
    guys told me so far, I kind of feel like I was shot,
 2
 3
    I was in harms way, so I don't know. I can't give
    you a yes or a no on that.
 5
              MS. WILSON: Knowing what you know, you
 6
    think it would be hard for you to be fair to both
 7
    sides or you think you could listen to the evidence
 8
    and then decide if you would be fair to both sides?
 9
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It is a pretty traumatic
10
    experience, so I don't know.
11
              MS. WILSON: One last follow-up question,
12
    I know some of you talked about residential
13
    burglary. I am presuming that was in your home and
14
    I believe you talked about that, Number 34,
15
    Ms. LeFrak.
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                 Yes.
16
17
              MS. WILSON: Is that different than
18
    somebody trespassing? I am assuming that is
19
    somebody that broke into your home?
20
                                 That has been two times
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
21
    that they have been trespassed. Once when my home
2.2
    was burglarized, another time when somebody was
23
    ringing my bell. So it was different both times,
24
    but I consider both a trespass.
25
              MS. WILSON:
                            Both a trespass even though
```

```
in your house was a little bit different, would you
 1
 2
    say that?
 3
               POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yeah, it is different
 4
    because some of my personal items were taken.
 5
               MS. WILSON: With that experience, again,
 6
    you could be fair and impartial in this case?
 7
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Not knowing the details
 8
    of the case, it is difficult to say, but I think if
 9
    there was someone like trespassing with the intent
10
    to burglarize, I might not be able to be fair.
11
    not knowing the details of this case, I don't know
12
    if that is the case.
13
                            But you would agree there is
              MS. WILSON:
    a distinction between just trespassing and then
14
15
    something more than that intruder based.
16
               POTENTIAL JUROR: Somebody who is just on
17
    my property without an invitation that I don't know,
18
    I do agree that that is different.
19
               MS. WILSON:
                            Thank you for your time.
20
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you, Ms. Wilson.
21
               Mr. Elsenheimer.
2.2
               MR. ELSENHEIMER: Good afternoon, ladies
23
    and gentlemen. Again, my name is Aric Elsenheimer.
24
    I represent Douglas Smith along with Amanda Lavin
25
    and Daniel Berg.
```

First of all, thank you for taking the time to talk with us this afternoon. You know, anytime after lunch I think the most civilized thing to do is take a nap. So I really appreciate your being here and being alert and answering our questions.

2.2

Second, thank you for your willingness to serve as a juror. Every individual in our country who is charged with a crime is entitled to a jury trial. They are entitled to a presumption of innocence and requiring the Government to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt.

I want to ask you a couple of questions about that. First I want to ask you about what voir dire is itself. We use the term voir dire. Who wants to raise a hand and tell me what voir dire means? I don't even know. It is Latin, to be perfectly honest, but what I think it means is it means to tell the truth. I think it means to tell the truth. So I first want to say anything, there is no wrong answer. So when we ask you if you used the term bias, really that kind of has, sometimes people have a negative feeling. But really what we are asking about is if this is the right jury, the right trial for you to sit on as jurors.

```
THE COURT: I hate to interrupt you,
 1
 2
    Mr. Elsenheimer, but when you have your back to me I
 3
    can't hear you as well, so can you speak into the
 4
    microphone.
 5
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Certainly, Your Honor.
 6
    I'm sorry. Just remind me if I stray.
 7
              THE COURT:
                           I will.
                                    Thank you.
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                 So I want to make sure
 9
    you understand that. There is no wrong answer.
10
    if I am asking you, I don't want to ask your bias.
11
    Really I want to ask you if because of your
12
    experiences, what you have experienced in your life
13
    or things that you have done or people that you
14
    know, if there is some reason that this my not be
15
    the right trial for you to sit on as a juror.
16
              Mr. Blue-Sky, Juror Number 27? Can I ask
17
    you a really quick question? You mentioned that you
18
    have work commitments and you are currently working
19
    in Santa Fe; is that right?
20
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yes, that is correct.
21
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: You are trying to work a
2.2
    lot to move to Albuquerque?
23
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                Yeah.
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: So you are trying to put
25
    in as many hours as possible.
                                    So let me ask you, is
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there any reason or is there anything about that you're trying to work as much as you can that it might not be a good time for you to serve as a juror? Like would you might not be focused as much or not present or worried that the trial is going on longer than you anticipated? POTENTIAL JUROR: Maybe just the trial going longer than anticipated. THE COURT: I know Mr. Elsenheimer is not very far away from you, so the natural inclination is to not speak any louder than you need to. MR. ELSENHEIMER: Could you just repeat what you told me? I'm sorry about that. What did you ask again? POTENTIAL JUROR: MR. ELSENHEIMER: I was just wondering if because of your concerns about, you're trying to work as much as possible, if that might distract you or you might get worried if it looked like the trial was going to go longer than we had anticipated. POTENTIAL JUROR: It might be a little bit distraction about how long the trial is going to be, I am not sure. I am just worried money-wise and want to make sure that I have enough to support myself to come down here. MR. ELSENHEIMER: Of course. Do you think

if you were deliberating in the case and it looked 1 like the deliberations would take too long, might 2 3 you want to wrap those deliberations up so you can get back to work? 5 Is that fair? 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I would weigh it 7 fairly. 8 MR. ELSENHEIMER: That is all the 9 questions I have for you. Thank you very much. 10 Let me ask you, several of you raised your 11 The question was whether or not you were qun 12 owners. Let me ask a more general question. 13 A lot of people have strong opinions one 14 way or the other about gun ownership in this 15 country. Will anyone hold it against Mr. Smith that 16 he owns firearms? Is anybody concerned about their 17 feelings towards gun ownership or firearms that they 18 would hold that against Mr. Smith? 19 I want to apologize to the folks that are 20 over here. 21 I want to talk about the Government's 2.2 burden in a criminal case. Mr. Smith is innocent 23 and he remains innocent unless and only if the 24 Government is able to prove their case beyond a 25 reasonable doubt. And that means a number of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

things. One thing it means is that the defense has to do nothing, it is entirely the Government's It is their job to prove their case, and they have to prove their case as to each element of the charge against Mr. Smith. Let me ask you about elements. I am not sure how many of you are familiar with criminal cases, but we use the term elements. And the way I like to think of those, the term elements, every criminal charge has a number of elements. I like to think of those as kind of ingredients in a recipe, so I am going to be really simple. If you are making chocolate chip cookies, those chocolate chip cookies have to have certain elements. They have to have, obviously, chocolate chips, right? You will have to have flour and sugar and I am going to lose track of this because I don't actually know how to make chocolate chip cookies. But let say that, and every element is important. Baking soda. Seemingly insignificant, but vitally important to chocolate chip cookies. That is the same way with a criminal charge. The Government has to prove each and every element. And in this case you will see that there are a number of elements the Government has to

1 prove. 2 I want to ask you, let's say that it is 3 seemingly an insignificant element to you. You are 4 discussing it with the jury and you think it is not 5 the chocolate chip of the recipe, it is something 6 that is insignificant to your mind element. think, well, I don't know, the Government hasn't 7 8 proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt. 9 that case what would your verdict be? Let me ask 10 you, is it Ms. Christensen? POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 11 12 MR. ELSENHEIMER: What would your verdict be in that situation? 13 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: If you didn't have all 15 of the ingredients, then you can't call it what you 16 are trying to make. 17 MR. ELSENHEIMER: So what would your verdict be? 18 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: Can you grade it A or B, 20 or is it quilty or not quilty? I mean, is that what 21 you're asking? 2.2 MR. ELSENHEIMER: That is what I am 23 asking. There's two options, guilty or not guilty. 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know, I would 25 have to taste the cookie first to see.

1 MR. ELSENHEIMER: The Judge is going to 2 instruct you that you have to find beyond a reasonable doubt to each of those elements. 3 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: If there is not a 5 reasonable doubt, then he can't be guilty. So I 6 would say that, you know, if you don't have all the 7 ingredients you can't make the cookie, that means 8 you don't have the elements. He is not quilty. 9 MR. ELSENHEIMER: So you have a reasonable 10 doubt without one of the elements even if you think 11 it is insignificant, would you return a verdict of 12 not guilty? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Probably. If it is not 14 a clear case, you can't guess on somebody's life. 15 If it is something like that, you can't jump to 16 conclusions in that case. It is supposed to be 17 this, but it is not, then, it is not. 18 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you, 19 Ms. Christensen. 20 Let me ask you this: When you are 21 deliberating you will be with 11 other members of 2.2 the jury and you will be deliberating with them. As 23 a juror, it is your decision as to whether or not 24 the Government has met their burden of proof beyond 25 a reasonable doubt. What if you are in a situation

```
where you are convinced that the Government has not
 1
 2
    met their burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt
 3
    but all the 11 other people believe that the
 4
    Government has met their burden. Would you be
 5
    swayed by those other 11 members of the jury?
 6
    anybody have a concern that they would be swayed?
 7
    Is it Mr. Mardo? I think you mentioned something
 8
    like this in a civil case, right?
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
 9
                                Right.
10
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: It seems like you were
11
    kind of on one side and everybody else was on the
12
    other.
            What was that like for you?
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: It was uncomfortable.
14
    did ultimately just agree with the rest. It was not
15
    a matter of someone's life, so I didn't weigh it
16
    like I would have in that situation.
17
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: So it is a different
18
    type of case, so you are more flexible perhaps.
19
    What if in a criminal case where the Government is
20
    accusing somebody of murder, would you be more
21
    committed to your position if you believed the
2.2
    Government had not met their burden of proof beyond
23
    a reasonable doubt?
24
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I believe so.
25
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Would you have any
```

```
concern that you might be swayed?
 1
 2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I believe so.
 3
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Does anybody else have a
 4
    concern that they might be swayed by those?
 5
    Ms. Herson, I saw you shaking your head. Do you
 6
    mind if I call you? What are your feelings about
 7
    that?
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't see that, I can
 9
    stand my ground. I don't think that anybody can
10
    force me to have a position at all.
                                          That is at
11
    least the way I feel. I don't have, I can listen to
12
    others what others have to say, but I can always
    stick to what I have and stand to it.
13
14
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Thank you, Ms. Herson.
15
              THE COURT: I wasn't sure if I heard you
16
    correctly. Did you say you could or could not stand
17
    your ground.
18
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I could stand my ground.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                Does anybody else have
21
    any thoughts based on what we talked about, concerns
2.2
    about holding the Government to their burden of
23
    proof beyond a reasonable doubt?
24
              Does anybody have any thoughts about that?
25
    Is it Mr. Rivera? What are your thoughts about
```

proof beyond a reasonable doubt? 1 2 What are your feelings about holding the 3 Government to their burden of proof beyond a 4 reasonable doubt? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: That is what is required 6 by law, that is what we were taught in law school. 7 So that is the standard. A lot of times that is not 8 always the case. In some criminal cases that is the 9 jury's job to make sure that that is the case and 10 sometimes when they fail that, that is when 11 injustice happens. 12 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you. 13 May I have just a moment, Your Honor? 14 THE COURT: You may. 15 MR. ELSENHEIMER: There is one other topic 16 I want to talk to you about. In a criminal case 17 under the Constitution somebody like Mr. Smith who 18 is charged with a crime does not have to testify, 19 does not have to take the stand. Literally does not 20 have to do anything. I mean conceptually his 21 attorneys don't even have to do anything. We will, 2.2 but Mr. Smith does not have to take the stand. 23 I know the Judge asked you this, but I 24 want to ask you a little bit more. If you are 25 deliberating in this case and you are back in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

jury room and you are weighing what the Government put on as evidence, will anybody want to hear from Will anybody say I wish that we had heard from Mr. Smith. And it is completely fine and understandable if you think that, I just want to find out if anybody has that feeling or that thought. Is it Ms. Heath over here? What do you think about that? POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah, I would want to hear from him after awhile if the elements weren't -- if the elements didn't -- I don't know how to put it -- together. I would want to hear from him himself to see his side of everything to see what he has to say. MR. ELSENHEIMER: So let me make sure I understand what you're saying. If you didn't think that the Government, or you thought that there was questions about what the Government proved, in that situation you would want to hear from Mr. Smith? POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. MR. ELSENHEIMER: Okay. Do you understand that a criminal defendant has no obligation to take the stand and that it is entirely the Government's burden?

```
POTENTIAL JUROR:
 1
                                Yes.
 2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Would you, knowing that,
 3
    would you still want to hear from Mr. Smith?
 4
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. It is not quilty
 5
    until proven so, yeah, I would.
 6
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: You would want to hear
    from him?
 7
 8
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Yeah.
 9
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Would that affect your
10
    evaluation of how you looked at the evidence?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                No.
12
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Anybody else?
13
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Just a note on that.
                                                        Ι
14
    believe that I would have a natural tendency to want
15
    to speak with Mr. Smith. I know well that my biases
16
    would be influenced and I rather not for that
17
    purpose of just allowing the Court to take place as
    an actual witness.
18
19
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Okay.
                                        Anybody else,
20
    anybody else have a similar thought to Ms. Heath?
21
              Is it Ms. Romero?
2.2
              POTENTIAL JUROR: Are you not speaking for
23
    him?
24
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Yes, we certainly are.
25
              POTENTIAL JUROR: So you would present
```

```
what he may or may not want to say. So you are
 1
 2
    representing him.
 3
                                 Yes, that is a great
              MR. ELSENHEIMER:
 4
    question. So we are representing him and we will do
 5
    everything that we can do as his lawyers, but what I
 6
    am specifically asking about is a person's decision
 7
    to testify or to not testify. And under our
 8
    Constitution someone has the right not to testify
 9
    and that decision cannot be held against them.
10
              Does that make sense?
11
              POTENTIAL JUROR: I agree.
12
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: You agree with that.
13
    And you wouldn't hold it against him if you were a
    juror?
14
15
              POTENTIAL JUROR:
                                No.
16
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Thank you very much,
17
    Ms. Romero.
18
              Anyone else? Any other thoughts on that?
19
              Thank you very much for your time.
20
    you.
21
              THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, we
2.2
    are going to take a little bit of a break. Yvonne
23
    will escort you to the other courtroom and we will,
24
    I will get together with the attorneys, we will make
25
    some decisions about who of you may be excused or
```

```
who may return. But in any event, we will get
 1
 2
    together again in the courtroom before I excuse you
 3
    for the day.
 4
               So we will take a, hopefully, ten-minute
 5
    break, maybe 15.
 6
               Please rise for our jury panel.
 7
               (Whereupon the jury panel exits the
 8
    courtroom.)
 9
               (A recess was taken.)
10
               (Open court, outside the presence of the
11
    jury.)
12
               THE COURT: All right. We are back on the
13
    record.
             At this time we will take up the challenges
    for cause. We will do it the same as we did last
14
15
    time. We will start with the Government and just
16
    give us the name and we will figure out if there is
17
    agreement and we will move on to the next.
18
               MR. NAYBACK: Juror Number 32, Theresa A.
19
    Nieto for cause.
20
               MR. ELSENHEIMER:
                                We agree.
21
               THE COURT: Nieto for cause. That is the
2.2
    night custodian?
23
               MR. NAYBACK: It is.
24
               THE COURT: Next.
25
               MR. NAYBACK: Juror Number 36, Johnny
```

```
Edward Trujillo.
 1
 2
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We agree.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. Excused.
 4
              MR. NAYBACK: Juror Number 37, Victoria R.
 5
    Bautista.
 6
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We agree.
 7
              MR. NAYBACK: Juror Number 40, Adrian
 8
    Montes.
 9
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We agree.
10
              THE COURT: Adrian Montes, that's the one
    whose son has a doctor's appointment.
11
12
              MR. NAYBACK: Juror Number 43, Mark A.
13
    Haynes stroke and memory issues.
14
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: We agree.
15
              MR. NAYBACK: Those are all for the
16
    Government.
              THE COURT: So that is five strikes that
17
18
    you-all agree are challenges for cause.
19
              MR. NAYBACK: Challenges for cause.
20
              THE COURT: All right.
21
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: The only other cause
2.2
    challenge we have is for Juror Number 23, Calayla
23
    Heath. She said that if the elements weren't there
24
    from the Government's proof she would need to hear
25
    from the defendant, she would want to hear from the
```

defendant. 1 2 She was also -- I think worth pointing 3 out -- she seemed like she had her eyes closed or 4 she was sleeping for a lot of the voir dire. 5 don't know if there was a substantial part of it, but there were a number of times we looked over and 6 7 she was eyes closed obviously. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Nayback, do you agree? 9 MR. NAYBACK: No. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything else 11 you wanted to say on that? 12 MR. ELSENHEIMER: That's it. 13 THE COURT: Your response, Mr. Nayback? 14 MR. NAYBACK: My back is to her, Judge, 15 and I didn't do a good job of constantly turning 16 around, although I did a number of times. 17 certainly Ms. Heath didn't say she couldn't be fair. 18 And the exchange wasn't between the Court and 19 Ms. Heath, it was between Mr. Elsenheimer and 20 Ms. Heath. I thought it was a little clumsy, no fault of Mr. Elsenheimer's. I think she was 21 2.2 confused and I don't think -- she is 19 years old. 23 I don't know if she is familiar with -- she was 24 following everything but it doesn't mean that she 25 couldn't be a good juror. She didn't say she could

not be fair and impartial. 1 2 MR. ELSENHEIMER: I agree, she was 3 confused. I think she was confused because she was 4 sleeping for a lot of it. And also she did say, 5 though, and I think she was paying attention when I 6 questioned about the elements, so it reflected that 7 she had absorbed that, but she did say reflecting 8 kind of a conscious acknowledgment, if I didn't hear 9 about the elements, I would want to hear from the 10 defendant. 11 THE COURT: I thought that she was 12 confused. I thought that the way the question was 13 framed it kind of lured her to that response because the way I remember the question it was not -- I 14 15

framed it kind of lured her to that response because the way I remember the question it was not -- I don't have the question in front of me, but it was kind of a casual question. Say you are all back in the jury room and you are talking about the case and you are thinking, gee, I wish he had said, we had heard from him and it is only natural that we would want to hear from him. The way you phrased it, it

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I thought, so you said if the elements weren't met would you want to hear from him and I just thought she was really confused. I felt like she didn't know what to say. She was trying, I

kind of lured her to say that.

```
thought she was trying to say that she wouldn't hold
 1
 2
    it against him, but I mean she was all over the
 3
    place. But I thought she was kind of lured there.
 4
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: Just in my defense, I
 5
    didn't lure. The reason I asked that is because I
 6
    heard from a number of jurors who made a commitment
 7
    to not holding against the defendant in voir dire
 8
    and then after they deliberated they say I wanted to
 9
    hear, we wanted to hear from the defendant.
10
    is an about face. I certainly don't think I lured
11
    her because --
12
              THE COURT: I just thought it was
13
    confusing, that is all. I am not saying that you
    lured her --
14
15
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: My only point is that
16
    the next person I asked gave the opposite answer.
17
              THE COURT: She raised her hand and
    volunteered because I think she saw some confusion.
18
19
    Anyway, anything else?
20
              MR. NAYBACK:
                            Only that my recollection is
21
    that she didn't say she would hold it against
2.2
    Mr. Smith if he didn't testify, was her ultimate
23
    answer.
24
              THE COURT: Well, if all I had was that
25
    exchange, I am not sure that she said she would hold
```

```
it against Mr. Smith. But she was pretty drowsy.
 1
 2
    So I don't know, I thought she looked bored.
 3
    thought she looked like she wasn't really with it,
 4
    so I will excuse her for cause. And I really don't
 5
    want to do that, but I feel like that is what needs
 6
    to happen.
 7
              Anything else, Mr. Elsenheimer?
 8
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: That's it, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. So I am showing
10
    six that will be excused for cause.
11
    Number 23, or Panel Member Ms. Heath; Number 32,
12
    Ms. Nieto; Number 36, Mr. Trujillo; Number 37,
13
    Ms. Bautista; Number 40, Mr. Montes; and Number 43,
14
    Mr. Haynes. So where are we now? What is our count
15
    available panel members we have seven. Everybody
16
    come up with 23?
17
              So we could do one of two things. We can
18
    come back tomorrow and take up the third panel
19
    tomorrow morning or we can try to see if we can come
20
                 Now, I know you get ten peremptories I
    up with 14.
21
    know you get six peremptories. And I thought it
2.2
    might be worth a try if we do a blind exercise of
23
    peremptories.
24
              In other words, the defense would write
25
    their list of ten peremptory challenges, the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Government would write up their list of six peremptory challenges and I could just look at it privately and see if we have, between the two lists, come up with the 14 people to sit on the jury. if we do not have 14 people, then we would come back tomorrow and I would not necessarily share the list with you unless you wanted me to share the list with you because then everybody is back in play. MR. NAYBACK: Tomorrow do we get all our peremptories back or are we stuck with the ones we use today? THE COURT: Well, my thought was if we have to go to a third, I mean, I am open to what you all have to say so I should ask what you think before I tell you what I think. MR. NAYBACK: I really like the idea. Ιn our last trial we used two of our six peremptories, so that is why I think it is a good idea. But since you are giving us six blind, we will use six blind and I like the idea of trying it. I think if I had to guess, we would come up with 13. MR. ELSENHEIMER: Your Honor, I prefer that we come back tomorrow. The reason is because a lot of our decision about exercising our peremptory challenge is based on the panel farther down the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

line. We have 23 jurors right now, so how we exercise peremptories in this context could be dependent on who we have tomorrow available after we have the panel tomorrow. THE COURT: I understand that if we have a panel tomorrow, but I am saying let's try with the panel we have. MR. ELSENHEIMER: But a large part of exercising peremptories, for the defense, at least, hearing from the Government what their exercise is and then we make a decision based on that. THE COURT: I may do blind tomorrow, too. MR. NAYBACK: I think it is fair. I think it is constitutional. I think the Court can simply do it. My only other question was so tomorrow we are picking from three panels, aren't we, and then I am just wondering then so you have not decided yet, we may go blind tomorrow. It has been since Tyrone Coriz, I forget. If we go tit for tat back and forth. THE COURT: I have done that. But on the blind, I just take your list and I compare it and I see who we lost and who is still there. MR. NAYBACK: I think that is completely fair and that gives us a better chance of not having

```
to come back tomorrow and starting in the morning.
 1
 2
    So that is the Government's position.
                                            I hear where
 3
    Mr. Elsenheimer is coming from but I urge the Court
 4
    do what it is inclined to do.
 5
              THE COURT: We could come back tomorrow
 6
    and have, you know, five people left. If we only
 7
    add five to the 23 we already have, I am going to do
 8
    blind. So I cannot tell you that if we hold on
 9
    until tomorrow we will do the exchange that you
10
    described, Mr. Elsenheimer. It could be blind
    tomorrow as well.
11
12
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: So if we do kind of just
13
    a trial run tonight and if it doesn't work out,
    would we get our peremptories back tomorrow?
14
15
    just start over with a blind slate tomorrow?
16
              THE COURT: Mr. Nayback was suggesting
17
    that we not, I believe. I feel that what I was
18
    thinking was let's see if we can get a jury today
19
    and not deal with the group tomorrow.
                                            If we are
20
    going to have to deal with the group tomorrow, then
21
    I don't have a problem with scrapping whatever we do
2.2
    tonight and then just start fresh.
23
              MR. ELSENHEIMER: So we will start fresh
24
    tomorrow.
25
              MR. NAYBACK: But tomorrow might also
```

still be blind. 1 2 THE COURT: It may still be blind. 3 MR. ELSENHEIMER: Sure. I just want to be 4 sure we are able to start on a blank slate tomorrow 5 if we come back. 6 THE COURT: So we will give it a go 7 tonight and see if we can come up with a jury; is 8 that right? 9 You are going to give me a written list of 10 ten peremptories, you are going to give me a written list of six. 11 12 If the result is we have 14 jurors, we're 13 done. 14 If the result is we don't have 14 jurors, 15 then we come back tomorrow and I will rip up the 16 list. 17 (A recess was taken.) 18 THE COURT: Well, we tried but it didn't 19 work. We didn't come close to getting a jury. 20 There was the overlap of maybe one, so we weren't 21 even close. 2.2 We will be back tomorrow morning. 23 panel will come back, but I will just tell you, we 24 are going to have to come back tomorrow morning and 25 voir dire the third group.

So I will have the panel come in and I 1 2 will excuse the ones that --3 MR. NAYBACK: Just for -- since we are 4 putting on witnesses, hopefully, tomorrow and just 5 to try to get them lined up so there is no delay, do 6 you have a sense of when we might start, like the 7 most conservative estimate before we might start 8 with opening statements and putting on evidence? Ιs 9 it 11:00, is it 1 p.m., 2:00? I know it depends, 10 there are a lot of variables. 11 THE COURT: I am shooting for having a 12 jury seated by noon tomorrow or 12:30, but I would 13 take a lunch break and come back at 1:30 and start 14 openings at 1:30. We didn't talk about how much 15 time you needed, but we can --16 MR. NAYBACK: 20 minutes for me. Your 17 business hours are til 5:00 usually? 18 THE COURT: In the past it is dependent on 19 a lot of things. Right now my usual is about 4:45, 20 but 5:00 is my -- I don't go until 5:05, unless 21 there is a witness on at 5:00 that will be done, off 2.2 the stand, if we just go another couple of minutes. 23 So yeah, 5:00 is my, I am willing to do that. 24 MR. NAYBACK: We will rest on Wednesday 25 midday, guaranteed. We are shooting for before

Ten witnesses maybe six hours of testimony. 1 lunch. It is hard to know with Mr. Elsenheimer and 2 3 Ms. Lavin's cross. 4 THE COURT: Right. 5 MR. NAYBACK: But we would try to estimate 6 those. We have two photographers that are getting a 7 bunch of photos that are ten minutes. 8 (Whereupon the following proceedings were 9 held in Open Court.) 10 (Whereupon the jury panel entered the 11 courtroom.) 12 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. 13 So everyone here. All right. What I am going to do is tell 14 15 you who has been excused. So those of you who are 16 not excused will have to come back tomorrow. Now, 17 when you come back tomorrow it doesn't mean you are 18 on the jury, it just means you are still in the 19 pool. 20 We have another group to hear from 21 tomorrow morning and after we hear from that panel, 2.2 we will make the final decisions on who will be on 23 the jury. There are a few of you that will be 24 excused, now, and so I will announce who is being 25 excused and then you are free to leave and then the

```
rest of you just hold on for a moment because Yvonne
 1
 2
    will give you information about tomorrow.
 3
               So those who are excused Panel Member
 4
    Number 23, Ms. Heath, you are excused.
 5
               Number 32, Ms. Nieto, you are excused.
 6
               Number 36, Mr. Trujillo, you are excused.
 7
    And thank you for coming and participating.
 8
               Number 37, Ms. Bautista, you are excused.
 9
    And the same to you, thank you for your time.
10
    really appreciate it.
               Number 40, Adrian Montes, and thank you as
11
12
    well, Mr. Montes.
13
               And last Mr. Haynes, Number 43, you are
14
    excused as well. So thank you, Mr. Haynes, for
15
    coming and participating.
16
               Now, Yvonne is handing out the sheet that
17
    gives you the information to come back tomorrow, I
18
    will expect that we will seat our jury before lunch,
19
    that is why I would like you to come back tomorrow
20
    morning.
21
               My expectation is you will, the jury will
2.2
    be seated before we break for lunch and then we will
23
    return from lunch and go straight to the opening
24
    statements and then to the testimony.
25
               The Government will start, put on its
```

1 case. 2 Again, I am hopeful that we will be done 3 Thursday or Friday but it is hard to know for sure 4 because we have not started the testimony yet, but I 5 will do my best to keep you posted as the case 6 progresses. 7 So I am guessing that some of you will be 8 on the jury but we won't know until closer to the 9 noon hour tomorrow. 10 So thank you all for your attendance today. We will see you tomorrow. Bring reading or 11 12 something to occupy yourselves while you are waiting 13 tomorrow, but we will get to you just as soon as we 14 can. 15 (Proceedings concluded at 4:26 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States. Date: June 14, 2021 PAUL BACA, RPR, CCR Certified Court Reporter #112 License Expires: 12-31-2023 2.2